

## THE LATTICE OF IDEALS OF $M_R(R^2)$ , $R$ A COMMUTATIVE PIR

C. J. MAXSON AND L. VAN WYK

(Received 20 June 1990; revised 20 November 1990)

Communicated by P. Schultz

### Abstract

In this paper we characterize the ideals of the centralizer near-ring  $N = M_R(R^2)$ , where  $R$  is a commutative principle ideal ring. The characterization is used to determine the radicals  $J_\nu(N)$  and the quotient structures  $N/J_\nu(N)$ ,  $\nu = 0, 1, 2$ .

1991 *Mathematics subject classification* (Amer. Math. Soc.): 16 A 76.

### 1. Introduction

Let  $R$  be a ring with identity and let  $G$  be a unitary (right)  $R$ -module. Then  $M_R(G) = \{f: G \rightarrow G \mid f(ar) = f(a) \cdot r, a \in G, r \in R\}$  is a near-ring under function addition and composition, called the *centralizer near-ring determined by the pair*  $(R, G)$ . When  $G$  is the free  $R$ -module on a finite number of (say  $n$ ) generators, then  $M_R(R^n)$  contains the ring  $\mathcal{M}_n(R)$  of  $n \times n$  matrices over  $R$ , and in this case the known structure of  $\mathcal{M}_n(R)$  can be used to obtain structural results for  $M_R(R^n)$ . An investigation of these relationships was initiated in [5]. (As in [5] we restrict our attention to the case  $n = 2$ , which shows all the salient features, for ease of exposition.)

When  $R$  is an integral domain, it was shown in [5] that  $M_R(R^2)$  is a simple near-ring. Moreover, when  $R$  is a principal ideal domain, there is a lattice isomorphism between the ideals of  $R$  and the lattice of two-sided

invariant subgroups of  $M_R(R^2)$ . In this work we turn to the case in which  $R$  is a commutative principal ideal ring and investigate the lattice of ideals of  $M_R(R^2)$ . Here the situation is quite different from that of the principal ideal domain.

Let  $R$  be a commutative principal ideal ring with identity. It is well-known ([1], [8]) that  $R$  is the direct sum of principal ideal domains (PID) and special principal ideal rings (PIR). A special PIR is a principal ideal ring which has a unique prime ideal and this ideal is nilpotent. Thus a special PIR is a local ring with nilpotent radical  $J = \langle \theta \rangle$  (the principal ideal generated by  $\theta$ ). If  $m$  is the index of nilpotency of  $\langle \theta \rangle$ , then every non-zero element in a special PIR,  $R$ , can be written in the form  $a\theta^l$  where  $a$  is a unit in  $R$ ,  $0 \leq l < m$ ,  $l$  is unique and  $a$  is unique modulo  $\theta^{m-l}$ . Furthermore every ideal of  $R$  is of the form  $\langle \theta^j \rangle$ ,  $0 \leq j \leq m$ . We mention that special PIR's are chain rings. (See [3] and the references there for information and examples of finite chain rings.)

Our work also has geometric connections. Specifically, let  $R$  be a principal ideal ring and let  $\mathcal{E}$  be a cover (see [2]) of  $R^2$  by cyclic submodules. Then for each  $f \in M_R(R^2)$  and each  $\mathcal{E}_\alpha \in \mathcal{E}$ , there exists  $\mathcal{E}_\beta \in \mathcal{E}$  such that  $f(\mathcal{E}_\alpha) \subseteq \mathcal{E}_\beta$ . Hence  $M_R(R^2)$  is a set of operators for the geometry  $\langle R^2, \mathcal{E} \rangle$  and we obtain a *generalized translation space with operators* as investigated in [4].

Throughout the remainder of this paper all rings  $R$  will be commutative principal ideal rings, unless specified to the contrary, with identity and all  $R$ -modules will be unitary. We let  $N = M_R(R^2)$  denote the centralizer near-ring and all near-rings will be right near-rings. For details about near-rings we refer the reader to the books by Meldrum [6] or Pilz [7]. Also, for any set  $S$ , let  $S^* = S \setminus \{0\}$ .

The objective of this investigation is to determine the ideals of  $N = M_R(R^2)$ . After developing some general results in the next section we establish the characterization of the ideals of  $N$  in Section 3. As mentioned above, the situation here differs from the PID situation. In fact, we find for a special PIR,  $R$ , a very nice bijection between the ideals of  $R$  and the ideals of  $M_R(R^2)$ . In the final section we use our results to determine the radicals  $J_\nu(N)$ ,  $\nu = 0, 1, 2$ , and we find the quotient structure  $N/J_\nu(N)$ .

## 2. General results

We start out with an arbitrary (not necessarily commutative principal ideal) ring  $S$  with identity and suppose  $S = S_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus S_t$  is the direct

sum of the ideals  $S_1, S_2, \dots, S_t$ . Then  $1 = e_1 + e_2 + \dots + e_t$  where  $\{e_i\}$  is a set of orthogonal idempotents,  $e_i$  the identity of  $S_i$ . Note further that  $S^2 = S_1^2 \oplus \dots \oplus S_t^2$ , and let  $\binom{x}{y} \in S^2$ ,  $\binom{x}{y} = \binom{x_1}{y_1} + \dots + \binom{x_t}{y_t}$ ,  $\binom{x_i}{y_i} \in S_i^2$ . For  $f \in M_S(S^2)$ ,  $f\binom{x}{y} = f\left(\binom{x_1}{y_1} + \dots + \binom{x_t}{y_t}\right) = \binom{a_1}{b_1} + \dots + \binom{a_t}{b_t}$ ,  $\binom{a_i}{b_i} \in S_i^2$ . But  $f\binom{x}{y}e_i = f\left(\binom{x}{y}e_i\right)$  implies  $f\binom{x_i}{y_i} = \binom{a_i}{b_i}$ , so we obtain  $f\binom{x}{y} = f\binom{x_1}{y_1} + \dots + f\binom{x_t}{y_t}$  and  $f(S_i^2) \subseteq S_i^2$ .

If  $M_i = M_{S_i}(S_i^2)$ , then  $\varphi: M \rightarrow M_1 \oplus \dots \oplus M_t$  defined by  $\varphi(f) = (f_1, \dots, f_t)$ , where  $f_i = f|_{S_i^2}$ , is a near-ring homomorphism. Moreover,  $\varphi$  is onto. For, if  $(g_1, \dots, g_t) \in M_1 \oplus \dots \oplus M_t$ , define  $g: S^2 \rightarrow S^2$  by  $g\binom{x}{y} = g_1\binom{x_1}{y_1} + \dots + g_t\binom{x_t}{y_t}$ , where  $\binom{x}{y} = \binom{x_1}{y_1} + \dots + \binom{x_t}{y_t}$ . Then  $g \in M$  and  $\varphi(g) = (g_1, \dots, g_t)$ . Next, suppose  $f \in M$  and  $\varphi(f) = 0$ . This means that  $f|_{S_i^2} = 0$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, t$ , so  $f \equiv 0$ , and hence  $\varphi$  is an isomorphism.

Since  $S_i \subseteq S$ , we have  $M_S(S_i^2) \subseteq M_{S_i}(S_i^2)$ . On the other hand, for  $s \in S$ ,  $s = s_1 + \dots + s_t$ ,  $s_i \in S_i$ , and for  $\binom{a_i}{b_i} \in S_i^2$ ,  $\binom{a_i}{b_i}s = \binom{a_i}{b_i}(e_1s_1 + \dots + e_t s_t) = \binom{a_i}{b_i}s_i$ . Thus if  $f \in M_{S_i}(S_i^2)$ , then  $f\left(\binom{a_i}{b_i}s\right) = f\left(\binom{a_i}{b_i}s_i\right) = f\binom{a_i}{b_i}s_i = f\binom{a_i}{b_i}s$ , i.e.,  $f \in M_S(S_i^2)$ . We have established the following result.

**THEOREM 2.1.** *Let  $S = S_1 \oplus \dots \oplus S_t$  be a direct sum of ideals  $S_1, \dots, S_t$ . Then  $M_S(S^2) \cong M_{S_1}(S_1^2) \oplus \dots \oplus M_{S_t}(S_t^2)$ .*

Let  $K = K_1 \oplus \dots \oplus K_t$  be a direct sum of near-rings with identities  $e_i$ , and let  $B$  denote an ideal of  $K$ . Note that  $B \cap K_i$  is an ideal of  $K_i$ , and for  $b \in B$ ,  $b = (b_1, \dots, b_t)$ , we have  $be_i = b_i e_i = b_i$ , which implies  $b_i \in B \cap K_i$ . Thus  $B = (B \cap K_1) \oplus \dots \oplus (B \cap K_t)$ , and so, from the previous theorem, to determine the ideals of  $M_S(S^2)$  it suffices to determine the ideals of the individual components.

If  $R$  is a commutative PIR, then, as stated above,  $R$  is the direct sum of principal ideal domains (PID) and special PIR's, say  $R = R_1 \oplus \dots \oplus R_t$ . From Theorem 2.1,  $N = M_R(R^2) \cong M_{R_1}(R_1^2) \oplus \dots \oplus M_{R_t}(R_t^2)$ , so we are going to determine the ideals of  $M_{R_i}(R_i^2)$ . We know, however, if  $R_i$  is a PID then  $M_{R_i}(R_i^2)$  is simple, so the only ideals are  $M_{R_i}(R_i^2)$  and  $\{0\}$ . (See [5, Theorem II.12].) It remains to determine the ideals of  $M_{R_i}(R_i^2)$  when  $R_i$  is a special PIR.

To this end, let  $R$  be a special PIR with unique maximal ideal  $J = \langle \theta \rangle$ , and let  $m$  be the index of nilpotency of  $J$ , i.e.,  $\theta^m = 0$  and  $\theta^{m-1} \neq 0$ .

We know that the ideals of  $R$  are of the form  $\langle \theta^k \rangle$ ,  $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots, m$ . We denote  $\langle \theta^k \rangle$  by  $A_k$  and remark that  $A_k^2 = \{ \begin{pmatrix} a_1 \\ a_2 \end{pmatrix} \mid a_1, a_2 \in A_k \}$  is an  $R$ -submodule of  $R^2$  with the property  $f(A_k^2) \subseteq A_k^2$  for each  $f \in N = M_R(R^2)$ , because  $f \begin{pmatrix} r\theta^2 \\ s\theta^2 \end{pmatrix} = f \begin{pmatrix} r \\ s \end{pmatrix} \theta^2$  for all  $r, s \in R$ . But then  $(\{ \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \}: A_k^2)$  is an ideal of  $N$ . For  $r, s \in R$  and  $f \in (\{ \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \}: A_k^2)$ , we have  $\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = f \begin{pmatrix} r\theta^k \\ s\theta^k \end{pmatrix} = f \begin{pmatrix} r \\ s \end{pmatrix} \theta^k$ , so  $f \begin{pmatrix} r \\ s \end{pmatrix} \in \langle \theta^{m-k} \rangle^2 = A_{m-k}^2$ . Therefore  $(\{ \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \}: A_k^2) \subseteq (A_{m-k}^2: R^2)$ . Since the reverse inclusion is straightforward, we have the next result.

**PROPOSITION 2.2.** *If  $R$  is a special PIR with  $J = \langle \theta \rangle$  and index of nilpotency  $m$ , and if  $A_k = \langle \theta^k \rangle$ , then  $(\{ \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \}: A_k^2) = (A_{m-k}^2: R^2)$ ,  $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots, m$ .*

We know that if  $I$  is an ideal of  $N$ , then there exists a unique ideal  $A_k$  of  $R$  with  $I \cap \mathcal{M}_2(R) = \mathcal{M}_2(A_k)$ . In particular from [5], if  $f \in I$ , say  $f \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a \\ b \end{pmatrix}$ , then  $f \circ \begin{bmatrix} x & 0 \\ y & 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} a & 0 \\ b & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ . This in turn implies  $f(R^2) \subseteq A_k^2$ , so we have  $I \subseteq (A_k^2: R^2)$ .

**PROPOSITION 2.3.** *If  $R$  is a special PIR with  $J = \langle \theta \rangle$  and index of nilpotency  $m$ , then for each non-trivial ideal  $I$  of  $N = M_R(R^2)$  there is a unique integer  $k$ ,  $0 < k < m$ , such that  $I \subseteq (A_l^2: R^2)$  for  $l \leq k$ , and  $I \not\subseteq (A_l^2: R^2)$  for  $l > k$ .*

In the next section we develop the machinery to show that  $I = (A_k^2: R^2)$ . (Of course, if  $I = \{0\}$ , then  $I = (\{ \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \}: R^2) = (A_m^2: R^2)$ , and if  $I = M_R(R^2)$ , then  $I = (R^2: R^2) = (A_0^2: R^2)$ .) This will complete a proof of our major result.

**THEOREM 2.4.** *Let  $R$  be a commutative principal ideal ring with  $R = R_1 \oplus \dots \oplus R_t$ , where  $R_i$  is a PID or a special PIR. Then  $N = M_R(R^2) = M_{R_1}(R_1^2) \oplus \dots \oplus M_{R_t}(R_t^2)$ , and if  $I$  is an ideal of  $N$ , then  $I = I_1 \oplus \dots \oplus I_t$ , where  $I_i$  is an ideal of  $M_{R_i}(R_i^2)$ . If  $R_i$  is a PID, then  $I_i = \{0\}$  or  $I_i = M_{R_i}(R_i^2)$ . If  $R_i$  is a special PIR with  $J = \langle \theta \rangle$  and index of nilpotency  $m$ , then  $I_i = (A_k^2: R_i^2) = (\{ \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \}: A_{m-k}^2)$  for some  $k$ ,  $0 \leq k \leq m$ , where  $A_k = \langle \theta^k \rangle$ .*

### 3. Ideals in $M_R(R^2)$ , $R$ a special PIR

Unless otherwise stated, in this section  $R$  will denote a special PIR with unique maximal ideal  $J = \langle \theta \rangle$  and index of nilpotency  $m$ . Let  $I$  be an

ideal of  $N = M_R(R^2)$  with  $I \subseteq (A_k^2:R^2)$  as given in Proposition 2.3. From the fact that  $\mathcal{M}_2(A_k) \subseteq I$  our plan is to show that an arbitrary function in  $(A_k^2:R^2)$  can be constructed from functions in  $I$ . This will then give the desired equality. To aid in the construction of functions in  $N$  we recall from [5] that  $x, y \in (R^2)^*$  are *connected* if there exist  $x = a_0, a_1, \dots, a_s = y$  in  $(R^2)^*$  such that  $a_i R \cap a_{i+1} R \neq \{(0)\}$ ,  $i = 0, 1, 2, \dots, s - 1$ . This defines an equivalence relation on  $(R^2)^*$  and the equivalence classes are called *connected components*. We first determine the connected components of  $(R^2)^*$ .

Let  $F$  be a set of representatives for the classes  $R/J$ , where we choose 0 for the class  $J$ . Thus for  $\alpha \in F^*$ ,  $\alpha$  is a unit in  $R$ . We know for each  $r \in R$  there is a unique  $\alpha_0 \in F$  such that  $r = \alpha_0 + r_0\theta$ ,  $r_0 \in R$ . But  $r_0 = \alpha_1 + r_1\theta$ , with  $\alpha_1 \in F$ ,  $r_1 \in R$ , implies  $r = \alpha_0 + \alpha_1\theta + r_1\theta^2$ . Continuing, we find that every element  $r \in R$  has a unique “base  $\theta$ ” representation,  $r = \alpha_0 + \alpha_1\theta + \dots + \alpha_{m-1}\theta^{m-1}$ ,  $\alpha_i \in F$ ,  $i = 0, 1, 2, \dots, m - 1$ .

In the sequel, for ease of exposition we let  $\#$  denote a symbol not in  $F$ , and we let  $\widehat{F} = F \cup \{\#\}$ .

**LEMMA 3.1.** *Let  $M_\# = \langle \theta^{m-1}_0 \rangle$  and let  $M_\alpha = \langle \alpha\theta^{m-1}_{\theta^{m-1}} \rangle$ ,  $\alpha \in F$ . The submodules  $M_\beta$ ,  $\beta \in \widehat{F}$ , are the minimal submodules of  $R^2$ .*

**PROOF.** Let  $H$  be an  $R$ -submodule of  $R^2$ ,  $\{(0)\} \subsetneq H \subseteq M_\beta$ , where  $\beta \in F$ , and let  $(0) \neq x \in H$ . Then  $x = (\beta\theta^{m-1}_{\theta^{m-1}})s$  for some  $s \in R$ , and since  $x \neq 0$ , we have  $s \notin J$ , so  $s$  is a unit in  $R$ . But then  $xs^{-1} \in H$ , hence  $M_\beta \subseteq H$ . In the same manner if  $\beta = \#$ , then  $H = M_\#$ .

To show that the  $M_\beta$ ,  $\beta \in \widehat{F}$ , are the only minimal submodules, we show that every non-zero submodule  $L$  of  $R^2$  must contain some  $M_\beta$ ,  $\beta \in \widehat{F}$ .

Let  $y = \begin{pmatrix} u_1\theta^{l_1} \\ u_2\theta^{l_2} \end{pmatrix}$  be a non-zero element in  $L$ , where  $u_1, u_2$  are units in  $R$ . Suppose  $l_1 \geq l_2$ . Then  $yu_2^{-1}\theta^{m-l_2-1} = \begin{pmatrix} u_1u_2^{-1}\theta^{l_1-l_2+m-1} \\ \theta^{m-1} \end{pmatrix}$ . If  $l_1 > l_2$ , then  $yu_2^{-1}\theta^{m-l_2-1} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \theta^{m-1} \end{pmatrix}$ , so  $M_0 \subseteq L$ . We have  $u_1u_2^{-1} = \alpha + r\theta$  for some  $\alpha \in F$ ,  $r \in R$ , and  $u_1u_2^{-1}\theta^{m-1} = \alpha\theta^{m-1}$ , and so if  $l_1 = l_2$ , then  $yu_2^{-1}\theta^{m-l_2-1} = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha\theta^{m-1} \\ \theta^{m-1} \end{pmatrix}$ , i.e.,  $M_\alpha \subseteq L$ . A similar argument for  $l_1 < l_2$  gives  $M_\# \subseteq L$  and the proof is complete.

**LEMMA 3.2.** *For  $x, y \in (R^2)^*$ , the following are equivalent:*

- (i)  *$x$  and  $y$  are connected;*
- (ii)  *$xR$  and  $yR$  contain the same minimal submodule  $M$ ;*

(iii) *there exist positive integers  $l_1, l_2$  such that  $x\theta^{l_1} \in M^*$  and  $y\theta^{l_2} \in M^*$  for some minimal submodule  $M$ .*

**PROOF.** (i)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii). Suppose  $x$  and  $y$  are connected. As we showed in the previous proof,  $xR$  and  $yR$  contain minimal submodules, say  $xR \supseteq M' = cR$  and  $yR \supseteq M'' = dR$ . Thus there exist  $r, s \in R^*$  such that  $c = xr$  and  $d = ys$ . Since  $x$  and  $y$  are connected, so are  $c$  and  $d$ , say  $cr_1 = b_1s_1 \neq 0$ ,  $b_1r_2 = b_2s_2 \neq 0, \dots, b_{t-1}r_t = ds_t \neq 0$ . Since  $cr_1 \in (M')^*$ , it follows that  $cr_1R = cR$ , so there exists  $r' \in R$  such that  $cr_1r' = c$ , hence  $c = cr_1r' = b_1s_1r'$ . Now  $c$  has the form  $\binom{a}{b}\theta^{m-1}$ , so if  $b_1 = \binom{u_1}{u_2}\theta^{l_1}$  and  $s_1r' = v_1\theta^{l_3}$ , then  $b_1\theta^{l_3} = cv_1^{-1} \in (cR)^*$ . If  $r_2 = v_2\theta^{l_4}$ , then  $0 \neq b_1r_2 = b_1v_2\theta^{l_3+l_4-l_3}$ , and since  $b_1\theta^{l_3} \in cR$ , a minimal submodule, it follows from Lemma 3.1 that  $l_4 \leq l_3$ , otherwise  $b_1r_2 = 0$ . Therefore  $r_2\theta^{l_3-l_4} = v_2\theta^{l_3}$ , which in turn implies  $b_1r_2\theta^{l_3-l_4} = b_1v_2\theta^{l_3} \in (cR)^*$ . Hence  $b_2s_2\theta^{l_3-l_4} \in (cR)^*$ , so there exists  $r'' \in R$  such that  $b_2r'' = c$ . Continuing in this manner we get  $\hat{r}$  such that  $d\hat{r} = c$  for some  $\hat{r} \in R$ . But this means  $M' = M''$ .

(ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (iii). If  $xR \supseteq M$  and  $yR \supseteq M$ , then there exist  $r, s \in R$  such that  $xr, ys \in M^*$ , say  $r = u\theta^{l_1}, s = v\theta^{l_2}$ ,  $u, v$  units. But then  $x\theta^{l_1}$  and  $y\theta^{l_2}$  are non-zero in  $M$ .

(iii)  $\Rightarrow$  (i). From  $x\theta^{l_1} \in M^*$  we have  $\{\binom{0}{0}\} \subsetneq M \cap xR = M$ . Hence  $M \subseteq xR$ , and similarly,  $M \subseteq yR$ . Therefore, for some  $r, s \in R^*$ ,  $xr = ys \neq 0$ , i.e.,  $x$  and  $y$  are connected.

From this lemma we have that every minimal submodule  $M$  determines a connected component  $\mathcal{C}$ , where  $\mathcal{C} = (\bigcup\{xR \mid xR \supseteq M\}) \setminus \{\binom{0}{0}\}$ .

Consider the minimal submodule  $M_\alpha$ , for some  $\alpha \in F$ . We consider the submodules  $H(\alpha, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{m-1}) = \langle \binom{\alpha+\alpha_1\theta+\dots+\alpha_{m-1}\theta^{m-1}}{1} \rangle$ , where  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{m-1}$  range over  $F$ . We note that  $H(\alpha, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{m-1}) \cap H(\beta, \beta_1, \dots, \beta_{m-1}) = \{\binom{0}{0}\}$  if and only if  $\alpha \neq \beta$ . For if  $\alpha = \beta$ , then  $\binom{\alpha+\alpha_1\theta+\dots+\alpha_{m-1}\theta^{m-1}}{1}\theta^{m-1} = \binom{\alpha\theta^{m-1}}{\theta^{m-1}} = \binom{\beta+\beta_1\theta+\dots+\beta_{m-1}\theta^{m-1}}{1}\theta^{m-1}$ , so

$$H(\alpha, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{m-1}) \cap H(\beta, \beta_1, \dots, \beta_{m-1}) \supseteq M_\alpha.$$

Conversely, suppose  $\binom{\alpha+\alpha_1\theta+\dots+\alpha_{m-1}\theta^{m-1}}{1}r = \binom{\beta+\beta_1\theta+\dots+\beta_{m-1}\theta^{m-1}}{1}s$  for some non-zero  $r, s \in R$ . Then if  $r = a\theta^{l_1}, s = b\theta^{l_2}$ , we get  $l_1 = l_2$  and  $\binom{\alpha\theta^{m-1}}{\theta^{m-1}} = \binom{\beta\theta^{m-1}}{\theta^{m-1}}$ . Hence  $\alpha = \beta$ , since  $\alpha, \beta \in F$ . In the same way

we see that  $H(\#, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{m-1}) = \langle \alpha_1 \theta + \dots + \alpha_{m-1} \theta^{m-1} \rangle$  contains  $M_\#$  and that  $H(\#, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{m-1}) \cap H(\beta, \beta_1, \dots, \beta_{m-1}) = \{(0)\}$  for all  $\beta \in F$ .

Let  $a$  be an arbitrary non-zero element of  $R^2$ , say  $a = \begin{pmatrix} a_1 \theta^{l_1} \\ a_2 \theta^{l_2} \end{pmatrix}$ . If  $l_1 \geq l_2$ , then  $a = \begin{pmatrix} a_1 \theta^{l_1-l_2} \\ a_2 \end{pmatrix} \theta^{l_2} = \begin{pmatrix} a_1 a_2^{-1} \theta^{l_1-l_2} \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} a_2 \theta^{l_2}$  implies  $a$  is in some  $H(\alpha, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{m-1})$ ,  $\alpha \in F$ . If  $l_1 < l_2$ , then

$$a = \begin{pmatrix} a_1 \\ a_2 \theta^{l_2-l_1} \end{pmatrix} \theta^{l_1} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ a_2 a_1^{-1} \theta^{l_2-l_1} \end{pmatrix} a_1 \theta^{l_1}$$

implies  $a$  is in some  $H(\#, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{m-1})$ . Thus we see that the collection of submodules  $\{H(\beta, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{m-1}) \mid \beta \in \widehat{F}, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{m-1} \in F\}$  is a cover for  $R^2$  (see [2]) and we call the submodules  $H(\beta, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{m-1})$  covering submodules.

Therefore, to define a function  $f$  in  $N$  it suffices to define  $f$  on the generators of the covering submodules, use the homogeneous property  $f(xr) = f(x)r$  to extend  $f$  to all of  $R^2$  and then verify that  $f$  is well-defined. That is, if  $x$  and  $y$  are generators of covering submodules and  $0 \neq xr = ys$  for  $r, s \in R$ , then one must show that  $f(x)r = f(y)s$ . Suppose  $r = a_1 \theta^{l_1}$ ,  $s = a_2 \theta^{l_2}$  and  $x = \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ y_1 \end{pmatrix}$ ,  $y = \begin{pmatrix} y_1 \\ x_1 \end{pmatrix}$ . (A similar argument works for  $x = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ x_1 \end{pmatrix}$ ,  $y = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ y_1 \end{pmatrix}$ .) Thus we have  $x_1 a_1 \theta^{l_1} = y_1 a_2 \theta^{l_2}$  and  $a_1 \theta^{l_1} = a_2 \theta^{l_2}$ . Thus  $l_1 = l_2$ , and so  $a_2 = a_1 + r \theta^{m-l_1}$  for some  $r \in R$ . Thus  $xr = ys$  implies  $x \theta^{l_1} = y \theta^{l_1}$ . Consequently, to show that  $f$  is well-defined, it suffices to show that  $x \theta^l = y \theta^l$  implies  $f(x) \theta^l = f(y) \theta^l$ , where  $x$  and  $y$  are generators of covering submodules.

For convenience in manipulating functions in  $N$  we give the next result.

**LEMMA 3.3.** *If  $f \in N$ , then for any  $j$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq m-1$ ,  $f(\alpha + \alpha_1 \theta + \dots + \alpha_{m-1} \theta^{m-1}) = f(\alpha + \alpha_1 \theta + \dots + \alpha_j \theta^j) + \sigma_{j+1} \theta^{j+1} + \dots + \sigma_{m-1} \theta^{m-1}$  and  $f(\alpha_1 \theta + \dots + \alpha_{m-1} \theta^{m-1}) = f(\alpha_1 \theta + \dots + \alpha_j \theta^j) + \sigma'_{j+1} \theta^{j+1} + \dots + \sigma'_{m-1} \theta^{m-1}$ , where  $\sigma_{j+1}, \dots, \sigma_{m-1}, \sigma'_{j+1}, \dots, \sigma'_{m-1} \in R^2$ .*

**PROOF.** We note that  $f(\alpha + \alpha_1 \theta + \dots + \alpha_{m-1} \theta^{m-1}) \theta = f(\alpha + \alpha_1 \theta + \dots + \alpha_{m-2} \theta^{m-2}) \theta$  implies  $f(\alpha + \alpha_1 \theta + \dots + \alpha_{m-1} \theta^{m-1}) = f(\alpha + \alpha_1 \theta + \dots + \alpha_{m-2} \theta^{m-2}) + \sigma_{m-1} \theta^{m-1}$  for some  $\sigma_{m-1} \in R^2$ . The result now follows by induction. The second equality follows similarly.

Some additional notation will now be introduced. Let  $x$  be a generator of a covering submodule. We denote by  $m_{\theta^k} f(x)$  the multiplier of  $\theta^k$  in  $f(x)$ . If  $x = (\alpha + \alpha_1 \theta + \dots + \alpha_{m-1} \theta^{m-1})$  and  $j+1 \geq k$ , then from the above lemma,  $f(x) = f(\alpha + \alpha_1 \theta + \dots + \alpha_j \theta^j) + \sigma_{j+1} \theta^{j+1} + \dots + \sigma_{m-1} \theta^{m-1}$  and so  $m_{\theta^k} f(x) = m_{\theta^k} f(\alpha + \alpha_1 \theta + \dots + \alpha_j \theta^j) + \sigma_{j+1} \theta^{j+1-k} + \dots + \sigma_{m-1} \theta^{m-1-k}$ .

As at the beginning of this section, let  $I \subseteq (A_k^2 : R^2)$ . We consider two cases,  $F$  finite and  $F$  infinite.

First, suppose  $F$  is finite, and let  $f \in (A_k^2 : R^2)$ . Since  $F$  is finite, there are only a finite number of connected components, namely  $\mathcal{E}_\beta$  where  $\beta \in \widehat{F}$ ,  $\mathcal{E}_\beta$  determined by  $M_\beta$ . We show how to find a function in  $I$  which agrees with  $f$  on a single component and is zero off this component. Then by adding we get  $f \in I$ . We work first with the component  $\mathcal{E}_\#$ . We know the generators of the covering submodules for this component have the form  $(\alpha_1 \theta + \alpha_2 \theta^2 + \dots + \alpha_{m-1} \theta^{m-1})$ ,  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_{m-1} \in F$ .

For the fixed  $k$  above (determined by  $I \subseteq (A_k^2 : R^2)$ ) we partition these generators of the covering submodules of  $\mathcal{E}_\#$  into sets determined by the  $(k-1)$ -tuples  $(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_{k-1})$ ,  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_{k-1} \in F$ , where we take  $k \geq 2$ . (The case  $k = 1$  will be handled separately.) That is, given  $(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{k-1})$ , in one set we have all generators  $(\beta_1 \theta + \dots + \beta_{m-1} \theta^{m-1})$  where  $(\beta_1, \dots, \beta_{k-1}) = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{k-1})$ . Define  $p_{k-1} : R^2 \rightarrow R^2$  by  $p_{k-1}(\beta_1 \theta + \dots + \beta_{m-1} \theta^{m-1}) = (\beta_k \theta^k + \dots + \beta_{m-1} \theta^{m-1})$  if

$$(\beta_1, \dots, \beta_{k-1}) = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{k-1}), \quad p_{k-1}(\beta_1 \theta + \dots + \beta_{m-1} \theta^{m-1}) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

if  $(\beta_1, \dots, \beta_{k-1}) \neq (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{k-1})$ , extend using the homogeneous property, and define  $p_{k-1}(x) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$  if  $x \notin \mathcal{E}_\#$ . We show that  $p_{k-1}$  is well-defined. Let  $\bar{\alpha} = \alpha_1 \theta + \dots + \alpha_{m-1} \theta^{m-1}$ ,  $\bar{\beta} = \beta_1 \theta + \dots + \beta_{m-1} \theta^{m-1}$  and suppose  $(\frac{1}{\bar{\alpha}}) \theta^l = (\frac{1}{\bar{\beta}}) \theta^l$ . This means  $(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{m-l-1}) = (\beta_1, \dots, \beta_{m-l-1})$ . If  $l \leq m-k-1$ , then  $m-l-1 \geq k$  and so  $(\frac{1}{\bar{\alpha}})$  and  $(\frac{1}{\bar{\beta}})$  are in the same set of the partition, thus  $p_{k-1}(\frac{1}{\bar{\alpha}}) \theta^l = (\alpha_k \theta^{k+l} + \dots + \alpha_{m-1-l} \theta^{m-1+l} + \dots + \alpha_{m-1} \theta^{m-1+l}) = p_{k-1}(\frac{1}{\bar{\beta}}) \theta^l$ . If  $l > m-k-1$ , then  $l \geq m-k$  and so  $p_{k-1}(\frac{1}{\bar{\alpha}}) \theta^l = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = p_{k-1}(\frac{1}{\bar{\beta}}) \theta^l$ . Thus  $p_{k-1} \in M_R(R^2)$ . Also, since  $\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ \theta^k & 0 \end{bmatrix} \in I$ ,  $\hat{f} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ \theta^k & 0 \end{bmatrix} p_{k-1} \in I$ .

Define  $h : R^2 \rightarrow R^2$  by  $h(\alpha_1 \theta + \dots + \alpha_{m-1} \theta^{m-1}) = (\alpha_k \theta^k + \dots + \alpha_{m-1} \theta^{m-1})$ , extend, and define  $h(x) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$  if  $x \notin \mathcal{E}_\#$ . As above one shows that  $h$  is well-defined, i.e.,  $h \in M_R(R^2)$ . Thus for each  $g \in M_R(R^2)$ ,  $\hat{q} = g(\hat{f} + h) -$



$gh \in I$ . For  $x \notin \mathcal{E}_\#$  we have  $\hat{q}(x) = \binom{0}{0}$ , because  $p_{k-1}(x) = \binom{0}{0}$  if  $x \notin \mathcal{E}_\#$ . Further,  $\hat{q}(\frac{1}{\beta}) = g(\hat{f}(\frac{1}{\beta}) + h(\frac{1}{\beta})) - gh(\frac{1}{\beta})$ . If  $(\beta_1, \dots, \beta_{k-1}) \neq (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{k-1})$ , then  $\hat{f}(\frac{1}{\beta}) = \binom{0}{0}$  and in this case  $\hat{q}(\frac{1}{\beta}) = \binom{0}{0}$ . Thus we focus on  $(\frac{1}{\beta})$  where  $(\beta_1, \dots, \beta_{k-1}) = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{k-1})$ . Here,  $\hat{q}(\frac{1}{\beta}) = g(\binom{0}{\theta^k} + \binom{\beta_k \theta^k + \dots + \beta_{m-1} \theta^{m-1}}{0}) - g(\binom{1}{0})(\beta_k \theta^k + \dots + \beta_{m-1} \theta^{m-1})$ . We wish to define  $g$  so that  $\hat{q}$  agrees with  $f$  on all generators  $(\frac{1}{\beta_1 \theta + \dots + \beta_{m-1} \theta^{m-1}})$  with  $(\beta_1, \dots, \beta_{k-1}) = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{k-1})$ . First define  $g(\mathcal{E}_\#) = \{\binom{0}{0}\}$ . Then define

$$\begin{aligned} &g\left(\frac{\beta_0 + \beta_1 \theta + \dots + \beta_{m-1} \theta^{m-1}}{1}\right) \\ &= \dots = g\left(\frac{\beta_0 + \beta_1 \theta + \dots + \beta_{m-k-1} \theta^{m-k-1}}{1}\right) \\ &= m_{\theta^k} f\left(\frac{1}{\alpha_1 \theta + \dots + \alpha_{k-1} \theta^{k-1} + \beta_0 \theta^k + \dots + \beta_{m-k-1} \theta^{m-1}}\right). \end{aligned}$$

We show that  $g$  is well-defined. Let  $\beta = \beta_0 + \beta_1 \theta + \dots + \beta_{m-k-1} \theta^{m-k-1}$  and  $\gamma = \gamma_0 + \gamma_1 \theta + \dots + \gamma_{m-k-1} \theta^{m-k-1}$ , and suppose  $\binom{\beta}{1} \theta^l = \binom{\gamma}{1} \theta^l$ . Then

$$(\beta_0, \beta_1, \dots, \beta_{m-l-1}) = (\gamma_0, \gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_{m-l-1}).$$

If  $l \leq k$ , then  $m-l-1 \geq m-k-1$  and

$$g\left(\frac{\beta}{1}\right) = m_{\theta^k} f\left(\frac{1}{\alpha_1 \theta + \dots + \alpha_{k-1} \theta^{k-1} + \beta_0 \theta^k + \dots + \beta_{m-k-1} \theta^{m-1}}\right) = g\left(\frac{\gamma}{1}\right).$$

If  $l \geq k+1$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} g\left(\frac{\beta}{1}\right) &= m_{\theta^k} \left[ f\left(\frac{1}{\alpha_1 \theta + \dots + \alpha_{k-1} \theta^{k-1} + \beta_0 \theta^k + \dots + \beta_{m-l-1} \theta^{m+k-l-1}}\right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \rho_l \theta^{m-l} + \dots + \rho_{k+1} \theta^{m-k-1}, \right] \end{aligned}$$

where  $\rho_{k+1}, \dots, \rho_l \in R^2$ . A similar expression holds for  $g(\frac{\gamma}{1})$ . But then  $g(\frac{\beta}{1}) \theta^l = g(\frac{\gamma}{1}) \theta^l$  as desired.

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{q}\left(\frac{1}{\beta}\right) &= g\left(\begin{matrix} \beta_k \theta^k + \dots + \beta_{m-1} \theta^{m-1} \\ \theta^k \end{matrix}\right) - g\left(\begin{matrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{matrix}\right)(\beta_k \theta^k + \dots + \beta_{m-1} \theta^{m-1}) \\ &= g\left(\begin{matrix} \beta_k + \dots + \beta_{m-1} \theta^{m-1-k} \\ 1 \end{matrix}\right) \theta^k \\ &= m_{\theta^k} f\left(\begin{matrix} 1 \\ \alpha_1 \theta + \dots + \alpha_{k-1} \theta^{k-1} + \beta_k \theta^k + \dots + \beta_{m-1} \theta^{m-1} \end{matrix}\right) \theta^k \\ &= f\left(\frac{1}{\beta}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore  $\hat{q}$  agrees with  $f$  on those generators  $\left(\begin{matrix} 1 \\ \beta_1 \theta + \dots + \beta_{m-1} \theta^{m-1} \end{matrix}\right)$  with  $(\beta_1, \dots, \beta_{k-1}) = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{k-1})$ , and is zero on all other generators of covering submodules. Since there are  $|F|^{k-1}$  such functions, by adding we obtain a function  $q_{\#}$  which agrees with  $f$  on  $\mathcal{E}_{\#}$  and is 0 off  $\mathcal{E}_{\#}$ .

For  $k = 1$  the situation is somewhat easier. There is no need to partition the generators of the covering modules of  $\mathcal{E}_{\#}$ . For this case we use  $\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ \theta & 0 \end{bmatrix} e_{\#}$  and the  $h$  defined above, where  $e_{\mu}$  is the idempotent determined by  $\mathcal{E}_{\mu}$ , i.e.,  $e_{\mu}(x) = x$  if  $x \in \mathcal{E}_{\mu}$  and  $e_{\mu}(x) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$  if  $x \notin \mathcal{E}_{\mu}$ ,  $\mu \in \hat{F}$ . Thus for each  $g \in M_R(R^2)$ ,  $\hat{q} = g\left(\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ \theta & 0 \end{bmatrix} e_{\#} + h\right) - gh \in I$ . For  $x \notin \mathcal{E}_{\#}$ ,  $\hat{q}(x) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$ . Further,  $\hat{q}\left(\frac{1}{\beta}\right) = g\left(\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \theta \end{pmatrix} + \left(\frac{\beta}{\theta}\right)\right) - g\left(\begin{matrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{matrix}\right)\bar{\beta} = g\left(\begin{matrix} \beta_1 \theta + \beta_2 \theta^2 + \dots + \beta_{m-1} \theta^{m-1} \\ \theta \end{matrix}\right) - g\left(\begin{matrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{matrix}\right)\bar{\beta}$ . Define  $g(\mathcal{E}_{\#}) = \left\{\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}\right\}$  and

$$\begin{aligned} g\left(\begin{matrix} \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 \theta + \dots + \alpha_{m-1} \theta^{m-1} \\ 1 \end{matrix}\right) &= g\left(\begin{matrix} \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 \theta + \dots + \alpha_{m-2} \theta^{m-2} \\ 1 \end{matrix}\right) \\ &= m_{\theta} f\left(\begin{matrix} 1 \\ \alpha_0 \theta + \alpha_1 \theta^2 + \dots + \alpha_{m-2} \theta^{m-1} \end{matrix}\right). \end{aligned}$$

As above one verifies that  $g \in M_R(R^2)$  and that  $\hat{q}$  agrees with  $f$  on  $\mathcal{E}_{\#}$ .

In a similar manner one constructs  $q_{\alpha}$ ,  $\alpha \in F$ , which agrees with  $f$  on  $\mathcal{E}_{\alpha}$  and is 0 off  $\mathcal{E}_{\alpha}$ . Then  $f = \sum_{\beta \in \hat{F}} q_{\beta} \in I$ , and so the proof of Theorem 2.4 is complete when  $F$  is finite.

Alternatively, one could use the following approach in the finite case. For  $\alpha \in F$ , define  $p_{\alpha}\left(\begin{matrix} \alpha + \alpha_1 \theta + \dots + \alpha_{m-1} \theta^{m-1} \\ 1 \end{matrix}\right) = \left(\begin{matrix} 1 \\ \alpha_1 \theta + \dots + \alpha_{m-1} \theta^{m-1} \end{matrix}\right)$  and  $p_{\alpha}(x) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$  for  $x \notin \mathcal{E}_{\alpha}$ . For each  $g' \in N$ ,  $q' = [g'\left(\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ \theta^k & 0 \end{bmatrix} + h\right) - g'h]p_{\alpha} \in I$ . For  $x \notin \mathcal{E}_{\alpha}$ ,  $q'(x) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$ , and  $q'\left(\begin{matrix} \alpha + \alpha_1 \theta + \dots + \alpha_{m-1} \theta^{m-1} \\ 1 \end{matrix}\right) = g'\left(\begin{matrix} \alpha_k \theta^k + \dots + \alpha_{m-1} \theta^{m-1} \\ \theta^k \end{matrix}\right) -$

$g'(\binom{1}{0})(\alpha_k\theta^k + \dots + \alpha_{m-1}\theta^{m-1})$ . Define  $g'(\mathcal{E}_\#) = \{(\binom{0}{0})\}$  and

$$\begin{aligned} g' \left( \begin{matrix} \beta_0 + \beta_1\theta + \dots + \beta_{m-1}\theta^{m-1} \\ 1 \end{matrix} \right) \\ = \dots = g' \left( \begin{matrix} \beta_0 + \beta_1\theta + \dots + \beta_{m-k-1}\theta^{m-k-1} \\ 1 \end{matrix} \right) \\ = m_{\theta^k} f \left( \begin{matrix} \alpha + \alpha_1\theta + \dots + \alpha_{k-1}\theta^{k-1} + \beta_0\theta^k + \dots + \beta_{m-k-1}\theta^{m-1} \\ 1 \end{matrix} \right), \end{aligned}$$

where we have partitioned the generators  $(\begin{matrix} \alpha + \alpha_1\theta + \dots + \alpha_{m-1}\theta^{m-1} \\ 1 \end{matrix})$  of the covering submodules in  $\mathcal{E}_\alpha$  by using the  $k$ -tuples  $(\alpha, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{k-1})$ . One shows that  $g'$  is well-defined and continuing obtains a function which agrees with  $f$  on  $\mathcal{E}_\alpha$  and is zero off  $\mathcal{E}_\alpha$ .

Suppose now  $F$  is infinite, and let  $\delta_k: F^k \rightarrow F$  be a bijection. We again start with  $\mathcal{E}_\#$ , where as above we let  $\bar{\alpha} = \alpha_1\theta + \dots + \alpha_{m-1}\theta^{m-1}$ . Define  $h': R^2 \rightarrow R^2$  by  $h'(\binom{1}{\alpha}) = (\delta_k(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k)\theta^k + \alpha_{k+1}\theta^{k+1} + \dots + \alpha_{m-1}\theta^{m-1})$  and  $h'(x) = (\binom{0}{0})$ ,  $x \notin \mathcal{E}_\#$ . As above one shows that  $h' \in M_R(R^2)$ . Thus for each  $g \in N$ ,  $t_\# = g(e_\# + h') - gh' \in I$ . For  $x \notin \mathcal{E}_\#$ ,  $t_\#(x) = (\binom{0}{0})$ . For  $x = (\binom{1}{\alpha})$ ,  $t_\#(x) = g((\binom{0}{\theta^k}) + (\delta_k(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k)\theta^k + \alpha_{k+1}\theta^{k+1} + \dots + \alpha_{m-1}\theta^{m-1})) - gh'(x)$ . Define  $g(\mathcal{E}_\#) = \{(\binom{0}{0})\}$  and  $g(\begin{matrix} \beta_0 + \beta_1\theta + \dots + \beta_{m-1}\theta^{m-1} \\ 1 \end{matrix}) = \dots = g(\begin{matrix} \beta_0 + \dots + \beta_{m-1-k}\theta^{m-1-k} \\ 1 \end{matrix}) = m_{\theta^k} f(\begin{matrix} \mu_1\theta + \mu_2\theta^2 + \dots + \mu_k\theta^k + \beta_1\theta^{k+1} + \dots + \beta_{m-k-1}\theta^{m-1} \\ 1 \end{matrix})$ , where  $\delta_k(\mu_1, \dots, \mu_k) = \beta_0$ .

If  $\gamma = \gamma_0 + \gamma_1\theta + \dots + \gamma_{m-1-k}\theta^{m-1-k} + \dots + \gamma_{m-1}\theta^{m-1}$  and  $(\binom{\gamma}{1})\theta^l = (\binom{\beta}{1})\theta^l$ , then  $(\gamma_0, \gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_{m-l-1}) = (\beta_0, \beta_1, \dots, \beta_{m-l-1})$ . If  $l \leq k$ , then  $m-l-1 \geq m-k-1$  and so  $g(\binom{\gamma}{1})\theta^l = g(\binom{\beta}{1})\theta^l$ . If  $l \geq k+1$ , then  $g(\binom{\beta}{1}) = m_{\theta^k} f(\begin{matrix} \mu_1\theta + \dots + \mu_k\theta^k + \beta_1\theta^{k+1} + \dots + \beta_{m-l-1}\theta^{m-l-1+k} \\ 1 \end{matrix}) + \sigma_1\theta^{m-l} + \dots + \sigma_{k+1}\theta^{m-k-1}$ , where  $\sigma_{k+1}, \dots, \sigma_l \in R^2$ , and

$$\begin{aligned} g \left( \begin{matrix} \gamma \\ 1 \end{matrix} \right) &= m_{\theta^k} f \left( \begin{matrix} \nu_1\theta + \dots + \nu_k\theta^k + \gamma_1\theta^{k+1} + \dots + \gamma_{m-l-1}\theta^{m-l-1+k} \\ 1 \end{matrix} \right) \\ &\quad + \sigma'_l\theta^{m-l} + \dots + \sigma'_{k+1}\theta^{m-k-1}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\sigma'_{k+1}, \dots, \sigma'_l \in R^2$  and  $\delta_k(\nu_1, \dots, \nu_k) = \gamma_0$ . Since  $\gamma_0 = \beta_0$ ,  $(\nu_1, \dots, \nu_k) = (\mu_1, \dots, \mu_k)$  and  $g(\binom{\beta}{1})\theta^l = g(\binom{\gamma}{1})\theta^l$ . Hence  $g \in N$ .

Further,

$$\begin{aligned}
 t_{\#} \left( \frac{1}{\bar{\alpha}} \right) &= g \left( \begin{matrix} \delta_k(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k)\theta^k + \alpha_{k+1}\theta^{k+1} + \dots + \alpha_{m-1}\theta^{m-1} \\ \theta^k \end{matrix} \right) - gh' \left( \frac{1}{\bar{\alpha}} \right) \\
 &= g \left( \begin{matrix} \delta_k(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k) + \alpha_{k+1}\theta + \dots + \alpha_{m-1}\theta^{m-1-k} \\ 1 \end{matrix} \right) \theta^k - 0 \\
 &= m_{\theta^k} f \left( \begin{matrix} 1 \\ \alpha_1\theta + \dots + \alpha_k\theta^k + \alpha_{k+1}\theta^{k+1} + \dots + \alpha_{m-1}\theta^{m-1} \end{matrix} \right) \theta^k \\
 &= f \left( \frac{1}{\bar{\alpha}} \right).
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $t_{\#}$  agrees with  $f$  on  $\mathcal{E}_{\#}$  and is zero off  $\mathcal{E}_{\#}$ .

We next show that there is a function  $\hat{t}_{\#}$  in  $I$  which agrees with  $f$  off  $\mathcal{E}_{\#}$  and is zero on  $\mathcal{E}_{\#}$ . This will imply that  $f = t_{\#} + \hat{t}_{\#} \in I$ . To this end let  $\delta_{k+1}: F^{k+1} \rightarrow F$  be a bijection, let  $\alpha = \alpha_0 + \alpha_1\theta + \dots + \alpha_{m-1}\theta^{m-1}$  and define  $h'': R^2 \rightarrow R^2$  by  $h''(\mathcal{E}_{\#}) = \{ \binom{0}{0} \}$  while  $h'' \left( \binom{\alpha}{1} \right) = \left( \delta_{k+1}(\alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_k)\theta^k + \alpha_{k+1}\theta^{k+1} + \dots + \alpha_{m-1}\theta^{m-1} \right)$ . One finds that  $h'' \in N$ . Let  $\hat{E}_{\#} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \theta^k \end{bmatrix}$  (id.  $-e_{\#}$ ). Then  $\hat{E}_{\#} \left( \binom{\alpha}{1} \right) = \binom{0}{\theta^k}$  and  $\hat{E}_{\#}(\mathcal{E}_{\#}) = \{ \binom{0}{0} \}$ . Since  $\hat{E}_{\#} \in I$ , for each  $g \in N$ ,  $\hat{t}_{\#} = g(\hat{E}_{\#} + h'') - gh''$  is in  $I$ . For  $x \in \mathcal{E}_{\#}$ ,  $\hat{t}_{\#}(x) = \binom{0}{0}$  and for

$$\begin{aligned}
 x = \binom{\alpha}{1}, \hat{t}_{\#} \left( \binom{\alpha}{1} \right) &= g \left( \left( \binom{0}{\theta^k} \right) + h'' \left( \binom{\alpha}{1} \right) \right) - gh'' \left( \binom{\alpha}{1} \right) \\
 &= g \left( \begin{matrix} \delta_{k+1}(\alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_k) + \alpha_{k+1}\theta + \dots + \alpha_{m-1}\theta^{m-1-k} \\ 1 \end{matrix} \right) \theta^k \\
 &\quad - g \left( \binom{1}{0} \right) (\delta_{k+1}(\alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_k)\theta^k + \alpha_{k+1}\theta^{k+1} + \dots + \alpha_{m-1}\theta^{m-1}).
 \end{aligned}$$

Again we define  $g(\mathcal{E}_{\#}) = \{ \binom{0}{0} \}$  and

$$\begin{aligned}
 g \left( \binom{\gamma}{1} \right) &= g \left( \begin{matrix} \gamma_0 + \gamma_1\theta + \dots + \gamma_{m-1}\theta^{m-1} \\ 1 \end{matrix} \right) \\
 &= \dots = g \left( \begin{matrix} \gamma_0 + \gamma_1\theta + \dots + \gamma_{m-1-k}\theta^{m-1-k} \\ 1 \end{matrix} \right) \\
 &= m_{\theta^k} f \left( \begin{matrix} c_0 + c_1\theta + \dots + c_k\theta^k + \gamma_1\theta^{k+1} + \dots + \gamma_{m-1-k}\theta^{m-1} \\ 1 \end{matrix} \right),
 \end{aligned}$$

where  $\delta_{k+1}(c_0, c_1, \dots, c_k) = \gamma_0$ . As above,  $g \in N$  and  $\hat{t}_\#(\alpha) = f(\alpha)$ . Thus  $f = t_\# + \hat{t}_\# \in I$ , and the proof of Theorem 2.4 is complete.

### 4. Applications

In this final section we apply the above characterization of the ideals of  $N$  to determine the radicals  $J_\nu(N)$  of  $N$  and the quotient structures  $N/J_\nu(N)$ ,  $\nu = 0, 1, 2$ .

From Theorem 2.1 and [7, Theorem 5.20],  $J_\nu(N) = J_\nu(M_{R_1}(R_1^2)) \oplus \dots \oplus J_\nu(M_{R_i}(R_i^2))$ . If  $R_i$  is a PID, then  $J_0(M_{R_i}(R_i^2)) = \{0\}$ . If  $R_i$  is a PID, not a field, then  $J_1(M_{R_i}(R_i^2)) = J_2(M_{R_i}(R_i^2)) = M_{R_i}(R_i^2)$ , and if  $R_i$  is a field, then  $J_1(M_{R_i}(R_i^2)) = J_2(M_{R_i}(R_i^2)) = \{0\}$ . If  $R_i$  is a special PIR, then from the previous section we know that  $M_{R_i}(R_i^2)$  has a unique maximal ideal  $(A_1^2:R_i^2) = (\{(0)\}:A_{m-1}^2)$ . Moreover,  $A_{m-1}^2$  is a type 2,  $M_{R_i}(R_i^2)$ -module, for if  $(\begin{smallmatrix} x\theta^{m-1} \\ y\theta^{m-1} \end{smallmatrix}) \in A_{m-1}^2$  then  $x$  and  $y$  are units in  $R$  (or zero), and so if  $x \neq 0$  (say) then  $\begin{bmatrix} rx^{-1} & 0 \\ sx^{-1} & 0 \end{bmatrix}(\begin{smallmatrix} x\theta^{m-1} \\ y\theta^{m-1} \end{smallmatrix}) = (\begin{smallmatrix} r\theta^{m-1} \\ s\theta^{m-1} \end{smallmatrix})$  for an arbitrary  $(\begin{smallmatrix} r\theta^{m-1} \\ s\theta^{m-1} \end{smallmatrix})$  in  $A_{m-1}^2$ . Therefore  $J_2(N) \neq N$ , so we have  $J_0(M_{R_i}(R_i^2)) \subseteq J_1(M_{R_i}(R_i^2)) \subseteq J_2(M_{R_i}(R_i^2)) \subseteq (A_1^2:R_i^2)$ . On the other hand it is straightforward to verify that  $(A_1^2:R_i^2)$  is a nil ideal, so by [7, Theorem 5.37],  $J_0(M_{R_i}(R_i^2)) \supseteq (A_1^2:R_i^2)$ . This proves the following result.

**THEOREM 4.1..** *If  $R$  is a special PIR with  $J(R) = \langle \theta \rangle$ , then  $J_\nu(M_R(R^2)) = (\langle \theta \rangle^2:R^2)$ ,  $\nu = 0, 1, 2$ .*

Since  $N/J_\nu(N) \cong M_{R_1}(R_1^2)/J_\nu(M_{R_1}(R_1^2)) \oplus \dots \oplus M_{R_i}(R_i^2)/J_\nu(M_{R_i}(R_i^2))$ , it remains to determine  $M_{R_i}(R_i^2)/J_\nu(M_{R_i}(R_i^2))$  when  $R_i$  is a special PIR. This characterization is provided in the following result.

**THEOREM 4.2.** *Let  $R$  be a special PIR with  $J(R) = \langle \theta \rangle$  and index of nilpotency  $m$ . Then  $M_R(R^2)/J_\nu(M_R(R^2)) \cong M_{R/J(R)}(R/J(R))^2$ ,  $\nu = 0, 1, 2$ .*

**PROOF.** We know that every element of  $(R/J(R))^2$  has a unique representative  $(\begin{smallmatrix} \alpha+J(R) \\ \beta+J(R) \end{smallmatrix})$ , where  $\alpha, \beta \in F$ . We define  $\psi: M_R(R^2) \rightarrow M_{R/J(R)}(R/J(R))^2$  as follows: for  $f \in M_R(R^2)$ ,  $\psi(f)(\begin{smallmatrix} \alpha+J(R) \\ \beta+J(R) \end{smallmatrix}) = f(\begin{smallmatrix} \alpha \\ \beta \end{smallmatrix}) + J(R)^2$ . If  $(\begin{smallmatrix} \alpha+J(R) \\ \beta+J(R) \end{smallmatrix}) = (\begin{smallmatrix} \gamma+J(R) \\ \delta+J(R) \end{smallmatrix})$ , then  $\alpha = \gamma$  and  $\beta = \delta$ , so  $\psi(f)$  is well-defined. Furthermore

$\psi(f) \in M_{R/J(R)}(R/J(R))^2$ , since  $\psi(f)[(\frac{\alpha+J(R)}{\beta+J(R)})(\gamma+J(R))] = f(\frac{\alpha\gamma}{\beta\gamma})+J(R)^2 = f(\frac{\alpha}{\beta})\gamma + J(R)^2 = \psi(f)(\frac{\alpha+J(R)}{\beta+J(R)})(\gamma + J(R))$ .

It is clear that  $\psi(f + g) = \psi(f) + \psi(g)$ . Further,  $\psi(fg)(\frac{\alpha+J(R)}{\beta+J(R)}) = fg(\frac{\alpha}{\beta})+J(R)^2$ , while  $(\psi(f)\psi(g))(\frac{\alpha+J(R)}{\beta+J(R)}) = \psi(f)(g(\frac{\alpha}{\beta})+J(R)^2)$ . If  $g(\frac{\alpha}{\beta}) = (\frac{\alpha_0+\alpha_1\theta+\dots+\alpha_{m-1}\theta^{m-1}}{\beta_0+\beta_1\theta+\dots+\beta_{m-1}\theta^{m-1}})$ , then  $\psi(f)(g(\frac{\alpha}{\beta}) + J(R)^2) = f(\frac{\alpha_0}{\beta_0}) + J(R)^2$ . But, as in Lemma 3.3, one finds  $f(\frac{\alpha_0+\alpha_1\theta+\dots+\alpha_{m-1}\theta^{m-1}}{\beta_0+\beta_1\theta+\dots+\beta_{m-1}\theta^{m-1}}) = f(\frac{\alpha_0}{\beta_0}) + \sigma\theta$ ,  $\sigma \in R^2$ , so  $f(\frac{\alpha_0}{\beta_0}) + J(R)^2 = f(\frac{\alpha_0+\dots+\alpha_{m-1}\theta^{m-1}}{\beta_0+\dots+\beta_{m-1}\theta^{m-1}}) + J(R)^2 = fg(\frac{\alpha}{\beta}) + J(R)^2$ , i.e.,  $\psi(fg) = \psi(f)\psi(g)$ .

We complete the proof by showing that  $\psi$  is onto and  $\text{Ker } \psi = J_\nu(M_R(R^2))$ . To show that  $\psi$  is onto, let  $g \in M_{R/J(R)}(R/J(R))^2$ . For  $(\frac{\alpha_0+\alpha_1\theta+\dots+\alpha_{m-1}\theta^{m-1}}{\beta_0+\beta_1\theta+\dots+\beta_{m-1}\theta^{m-1}}) \equiv (\frac{\alpha}{\beta})$  define  $f: R^2 \rightarrow R^2$  by  $f(\frac{\alpha}{\beta}) = (\frac{\alpha'_0}{\beta'_0})$  where  $g(\frac{\alpha_0+J(R)}{\beta_0+J(R)}) = (\frac{\alpha'_0+J(R)}{\beta'_0+J(R)})$ . If  $(\frac{\alpha_0+\alpha_1\theta+\dots+\alpha_{m-1}\theta^{m-1}}{\beta_0+\beta_1\theta+\dots+\beta_{m-1}\theta^{m-1}})\theta^l = (\frac{\delta_0+\delta_1\theta+\dots+\delta_{m-1}\theta^{m-1}}{\varepsilon_0+\varepsilon_1\theta+\dots+\varepsilon_{m-1}\theta^{m-1}})\theta^l$ , then  $f(\frac{\alpha_0+\alpha_1\theta+\dots+\alpha_{m-1}\theta^{m-1}}{\beta_0+\beta_1\theta+\dots+\beta_{m-1}\theta^{m-1}})\theta^l = f(\frac{\delta_0+\delta_1\theta+\dots+\delta_{m-1}\theta^{m-1}}{\varepsilon_0+\varepsilon_1\theta+\dots+\varepsilon_{m-1}\theta^{m-1}})\theta^l$ , so one finds that  $f \in M_R(R^2)$ . Moreover,  $\psi(f)(\frac{\alpha_0+J(R)}{\beta_0+J(R)}) = f(\frac{\alpha_0}{\beta_0}) + J(R)^2 = (\frac{\alpha'_0}{\beta'_0}) + J(R)^2 = g(\frac{\alpha_0+J(R)}{\beta_0+J(R)})$ , and hence  $\psi(f) = g$ .

Finally,  $\text{Ker } \psi = \{f \in M_R(R^2) \mid f(\frac{\alpha}{\beta}) \in J(R)^2, \text{ for all } \alpha, \beta \in F\} = \{f \in M_R(R^2) \mid f(\frac{x}{y}) \in J(R)^2 \text{ for all } x, y \in R\} = (J(R)^2:R^2) = ((\theta)^2:R^2) = J_\nu(M_R(R^2))$ .

### Acknowledgement

This paper was written while the second author was visiting the Department of Mathematics at Texas A&M University in 1989–1990. He wishes to express his gratitude for financial assistance by the CSIR of South Africa and for the hospitality bestowed upon him by Texas A&M University.

### References

[1] T. W. Hungerford, 'On the structure of principal ideal rings', *Pacific J. Math.* **25** (1968), 543–547.

- [2] H. Karzel, C. J. Maxson and G. F. Pilz, 'Kernels of covered groups', *Res. Math.* **9** (1986), 70–81.
- [3] B. R. McDonald, *Finite Rings with Identity*, (Dekker, N.Y., 1974).
- [4] C. J. Maxson, 'Near-rings associated with generalized translation structures', *J. of Geometry* **24** (1985), 175–193.
- [5] C. J. Maxson and A. P. J. van der Walt, 'Centralizer near-rings over free ring modules,' *J. Austral. Math. Soc. (Series A)* **50** (1991), 279–296.
- [6] J. D. P. Meldrum, *Near Rings and Their Links With Groups*, Res. Notes in Math. 134 (North-Holland, London, 1986).
- [7] G. F. Pilz, *Near-rings, 2nd Edition*, (North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1983).
- [8] O. Zariski and P. Samuel, *Commutative Algebra*, Volume I, (Van Nostrand, Princeton, 1958).

Texas A& M University  
College Station, TX 77843  
U.S.A.

University of Stellenbosch  
7600 Stellenbosch  
South Africa