



## Jordan isomorphisms of 2-torsionfree triangular rings

C. Boboc, S. Dăscălescu & L. van Wyk

To cite this article: C. Boboc, S. Dăscălescu & L. van Wyk (2016) Jordan isomorphisms of 2-torsionfree triangular rings, *Linear and Multilinear Algebra*, 64:2, 290-296, DOI: [10.1080/03081087.2015.1034643](https://doi.org/10.1080/03081087.2015.1034643)

To link to this article: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/03081087.2015.1034643>



Published online: 22 Apr 2015.



Submit your article to this journal [↗](#)



Article views: 39



View related articles [↗](#)



View Crossmark data [↗](#)

## Jordan isomorphisms of 2-torsionfree triangular rings

C. Boboc<sup>a</sup>, S. Dăscălescu<sup>b</sup> and L. van Wyk<sup>c\*</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Faculty of Physics, University of Bucharest, Bucharest, Romania; <sup>b</sup>Faculty of Mathematics and Computer Science and ICUB, University of Bucharest, Bucharest, Romania; <sup>c</sup>Department of Mathematical Sciences (Mathematics Division), Stellenbosch University, Stellenbosch, South Africa

Communicated by W.-F. Ke

(Received 21 January 2015; accepted 17 March 2015)

We construct a class of Jordan isomorphisms from a triangular ring  $\mathcal{T}$ , and we show that if  $\mathcal{T}$  is 2-torsionfree, any Jordan isomorphism from  $\mathcal{T}$  to another ring is of this form, up to a ring isomorphism. As an application, we show that for triangular rings in a large class, any Jordan isomorphism to another ring is a direct sum of a ring isomorphism and a ring anti-isomorphism. In particular, this applies to complete upper block triangular matrix rings and indecomposable triangular rings.

**Keywords:** triangular algebra; Jordan isomorphism; complete upper block triangular matrix ring

**AMS Subject Classifications:** 16S50; 16W20; 16W10; 16D20

### 1. Introduction and preliminaries

Let  $\mathcal{T}$  and  $\mathcal{U}$  be rings. An additive isomorphism  $\varphi : \mathcal{T} \rightarrow \mathcal{U}$  is called a Jordan isomorphism if  $\varphi(xy + yx) = \varphi(x)\varphi(y) + \varphi(y)\varphi(x)$  for any  $x, y \in \mathcal{T}$ . Ring isomorphisms and ring anti-isomorphisms are examples of Jordan isomorphisms. It was proved that they are the only such examples in certain special cases: when  $\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{U}$  are prime rings of characteristic not 2 (see [1,2]), when  $\mathcal{T} = \mathcal{U}$  is a ring of upper triangular matrices over a field with more than 2 elements (see [3]), or more generally over a 2-torsionfree commutative ring having only trivial idempotents (see [4]). If  $\mathcal{T}$  is an upper triangular matrix ring over a 2-torsionfree ring, then any Jordan isomorphism from  $\mathcal{T}$  to another ring is a direct sum of an isomorphism and an anti-isomorphism (see [5]). Jordan homomorphisms from upper triangular matrix rings onto upper triangular matrix rings were investigated in [6] for base rings having only trivial idempotents.

Our interest is in the case where  $\mathcal{T} = \begin{pmatrix} R & M \\ 0 & S \end{pmatrix}$  is a triangular ring, i.e.  $R$  and  $S$  are rings with identity,  $M$  is a left  $R$ , right  $S$ -bimodule and the addition and multiplication obey the usual rules for matrices. It was proved in [7] that any Jordan isomorphism from  $\mathcal{T}$  to another ring is either a ring isomorphism or a ring anti-isomorphism, provided that  $M$  is an indecomposable bimodule, faithful as a left  $R$ -module and as a right  $S$ -module,

---

\*Corresponding author. Email: [LvW@sun.ac.za](mailto:LvW@sun.ac.za)

and  $\mathcal{T}$  is 2-torsionfree (such a  $\mathcal{T}$  is called an indecomposable triangular ring). We will refine the method of [7], which itself used some techniques of [4], and describe in Theorem 2.2 Jordan isomorphisms from  $\mathcal{T}$  to another ring assuming only that  $\mathcal{T}$  is 2-torsionfree. In fact, we construct a class of Jordan isomorphisms from  $\mathcal{T}$  to Morita rings associated to Morita contexts with zero Morita maps, and show that up to a ring isomorphism, any Jordan isomorphism from  $\mathcal{T}$  lies in this class. As an application, we give in Theorem 3.1, a quite large class of rings for which any Jordan isomorphism to another ring is a direct sum of a ring isomorphism and a ring anti-isomorphism. Immediate consequences of this are the above-mentioned result of [7] and the fact that a Jordan isomorphism from a complete upper block triangular matrix ring  $\mathcal{T}$  over a 2-torsionfree ring  $\Gamma$  is a direct sum of a ring isomorphism and a ring anti-isomorphism; this was proved in [5] for upper triangular matrix rings. If  $\Gamma$  has only trivial central idempotents, it follows that any Jordan isomorphism from  $\mathcal{T}$  is either a ring isomorphism or a ring anti-isomorphism; for upper triangular matrix rings this recovers results of [4,7].

All rings will be with identity and all modules will be unital. If  $\varphi : \mathcal{T} \rightarrow \mathcal{U}$  is a Jordan isomorphism between 2-torsionfree rings, then  $\varphi(xyx) = \varphi(x)\varphi(y)\varphi(x)$  for any  $x, y \in \mathcal{T}$ ,  $\varphi(1_{\mathcal{T}}) = 1_{\mathcal{U}}$ , and  $\varphi$  maps idempotents to idempotents, see [4,7].

**2. The main result**

A Morita context with zero Morita maps is just a quadruple  $(A, B, N_1, N_2)$ , where  $A$  and  $B$  are rings,  $N_1$  is a left  $A$ , right  $B$ -bimodule;  $N_2$  is a left  $B$ , right  $A$ -bimodule. The Morita ring associated with such a Morita context is  $\begin{pmatrix} A & N_1 \\ N_2 & B \end{pmatrix}$ , with component-wise addition and multiplication defined by

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & n_1 \\ n_2 & b \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a' & n'_1 \\ n'_2 & b' \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} aa' & an'_1 + n_1b' \\ n_2a' + bn'_2 & bb' \end{pmatrix}$$

The following result gives a class of Jordan isomorphisms from a triangular matrix ring.

PROPOSITION 2.1 *Let  $\mathcal{T} = \begin{pmatrix} R & M \\ 0 & S \end{pmatrix}$  be a triangular ring such that  $M = M_1 \oplus M_2$  as bimodules. Also let  $(A, B, N_1, N_2)$  be a Morita context with zero Morita maps. Assume that:*

- $\rho : R \rightarrow A, \sigma : S \rightarrow B$  are Jordan isomorphisms,
- $\psi_1 : M_1 \rightarrow N_1, \psi_2 : M_2 \rightarrow N_2$  are isomorphisms of additive groups such that  $\psi_1(rm) = \rho(r)\psi_1(m), \psi_1(ms) = \psi_1(m)\sigma(s)$  for any  $m \in M_1, r \in R, s \in S, \psi_2(rm) = \psi_2(m)\rho(r), \psi_2(ms) = \sigma(s)\psi_2(m)$  for any  $m \in M_2, r \in R, s \in S$ , (shortly,  $\psi_1$  is an additive  $(\rho, \sigma)$ -isomorphism and  $\psi_2$  is an additive  $(\rho, \sigma)$ -anti-isomorphism).

Then, the map

$$\Phi(\rho, \sigma, \psi_1, \psi_2) : \begin{pmatrix} R & M \\ 0 & S \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} A & N_1 \\ N_2 & B \end{pmatrix}$$

defined by

$$\Phi(\rho, \sigma, \psi_1, \psi_2) \begin{pmatrix} r & m \\ 0 & s \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \rho(r) & \psi_1(m_1) \\ \psi_2(m_2) & \sigma(s) \end{pmatrix},$$

where  $m = m_1 + m_2, m_1 \in M_1, m_2 \in M_2$ , is a Jordan isomorphism.

Moreover,

- (1)  $\Phi(\rho, \sigma, \psi_1, \psi_2)$  is a ring isomorphism if and only if so are  $\rho$  and  $\sigma$ , and  $M_2 = 0$  (or equivalently  $N_2 = 0$ ).
- (2)  $\Phi(\rho, \sigma, \psi_1, \psi_2)$  is a ring anti-isomorphism if and only if so are  $\rho$  and  $\sigma$ , and  $M_1 = 0$  (or equivalently  $N_1 = 0$ ).

*Proof* Denote  $\Phi = \Phi(\rho, \sigma, \psi_1, \psi_2)$ , which is clearly a bijective additive map. It is a straightforward computation to check that it is a Jordan isomorphism.

For (1), let  $x = \begin{pmatrix} r & m \\ 0 & s \end{pmatrix}, y = \begin{pmatrix} r' & m' \\ 0 & s' \end{pmatrix}$  in  $\begin{pmatrix} R & M \\ 0 & S \end{pmatrix}$  with  $m = m_1 + m_2, m' = m'_1 + m'_2, m_1, m'_1 \in M_1, m_2, m'_2 \in M_2$ . Then a direct computation shows that  $\Phi(xy) = \Phi(x)\Phi(y)$  if and only if  $\rho(rr') = \rho(r)\rho(r'), \sigma(ss') = \sigma(s)\sigma(s'),$  and  $\psi_2(m'_2)\rho(r) - \sigma(s)\psi_2(m'_2) = \psi_2(m_2)\rho(r') - \sigma(s')\psi_2(m_2)$ . The first two relations mean that  $\rho$  and  $\sigma$  are ring morphisms, while the third one (for any  $x, y$ ) means that  $N_2 = 0$ . Indeed, if  $r, s$  and  $s'$  are 0, we get  $\psi_2(m_2)\rho(r') = 0,$  so  $N_2A = 0$  and then,  $N_2$  must be 0. A similar argument proves (2).  $\square$

Our main result shows that up to a ring isomorphism, any Jordan isomorphism of a 2-torsionfree triangular ring is of the form constructed in Proposition 2.1, for some decomposition of  $M$  as a direct sum of bimodules.

**THEOREM 2.2** *Let  $\mathcal{T} = \begin{pmatrix} R & M \\ 0 & S \end{pmatrix}$  be a 2-torsionfree triangular ring and let  $\mathcal{U}$  be a ring. If  $\varphi : \mathcal{T} \rightarrow \mathcal{U}$  is a Jordan isomorphism, then there exist rings  $A, B,$  bimodules  ${}_A N_{1B}, {}_B N_{2A},$  a ring isomorphism  $\gamma : \mathcal{U} \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} A & N_1 \\ N_2 & B \end{pmatrix}$  and a decomposition  $M = M_1 \oplus M_2$  such  $\gamma\varphi = \Phi(\rho, \sigma, \psi_1, \psi_2)$  for certain Jordan isomorphisms  $\rho : R \rightarrow A, \sigma : S \rightarrow B$  and additive isomorphisms  $\psi_1 : M_1 \rightarrow N_1, \psi_2 : M_2 \rightarrow N_2$  satisfying the conditions of Proposition 2.1.*

*Proof* Regard  $R, S$  and  $M$  as embedded in  $\mathcal{T},$  thus  $\mathcal{T} = R \oplus M \oplus S.$  Let  $e = \varphi(1_R)$  and  $f = \varphi(1_S),$  which are orthogonal idempotents with  $e + f = 1_{\mathcal{U}}.$  As in the proof of [7, Theorem 3.1], we have that  $\varphi(R) = e\mathcal{U}e,$  a ring with identity  $e,$  and  $\varphi(S) = f\mathcal{U}f,$  a ring with identity  $f.$  Moreover, for any  $r \in R, m \in M, s \in S,$

$$\varphi(r)\varphi(ms) = \varphi(rm)\varphi(s) \text{ and } \varphi(ms)\varphi(r) = \varphi(s)\varphi(rm).$$

In particular one has

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(r)\varphi(m) &= \varphi(rm)f, \quad \varphi(m)\varphi(r) = f\varphi(rm) \\ \varphi(m)\varphi(s) &= e\varphi(ms), \quad \varphi(s)\varphi(m) = \varphi(ms)e \\ e\varphi(m) &= \varphi(m)f, \quad \varphi(m)e = f\varphi(m). \end{aligned}$$

We note that  $\varphi(R)\varphi(M) \subseteq \varphi(M),$  nevertheless  $\varphi(M)$  is not a  $\varphi(R)$ -module, since in general  $e\varphi(m) \neq \varphi(m).$  However,  $e\varphi(M) = \varphi(M)f = e\mathcal{U}f$  is a left  $\varphi(R),$  right  $\varphi(S)$ -bimodule, and  $f\varphi(M) = \varphi(M)e = f\mathcal{U}e$  is a left  $\varphi(S),$  right  $\varphi(R)$ -bimodule. It is proved in [7, Theorem 3.1] that  $M = \varphi^{-1}(e\varphi(M)) \oplus \varphi^{-1}(f\varphi(M))$  as left  $R,$  right  $S$ -bimodules.

Actually  $R, S, M$  are subject to more conditions in [7], but they are not needed for proving this relation. Denote  $M_1 = \varphi^{-1}(e\varphi(M))$  and  $M_2 = \varphi^{-1}(f\varphi(M))$ .

Take  $A = e\mathcal{U}e, B = f\mathcal{U}f, N_1 = e\mathcal{U}f, N_2 = f\mathcal{U}e$ . Consider the Morita context  $(A, B, N_1, N_2)$  with zero Morita maps, and the associated Morita ring  $\begin{pmatrix} A & N_1 \\ N_2 & B \end{pmatrix}$ .

We have  $\mathcal{U} = e\mathcal{U}e \oplus e\mathcal{U}f \oplus f\mathcal{U}e \oplus f\mathcal{U}f = \varphi(R) \oplus e\varphi(M) \oplus f\varphi(M) \oplus \varphi(S)$ . We show that  $(e\varphi(M))(f\varphi(M)) = (f\varphi(M))(e\varphi(M)) = 0$ . Indeed, let  $y \in e\varphi(M)$  and  $y' \in f\varphi(M)$ . Then, there are  $m, m' \in M$  with  $y = \varphi(m)$  and  $y' = \varphi(m')$ . Since  $mm' = m'm = 0$ , one has  $yy' + y'y = \varphi(m)\varphi(m') + \varphi(m')\varphi(m) = \varphi(mm' + m'm) = 0$ . But  $yy' \in e\mathcal{U}e$  and  $y'y \in f\mathcal{U}f$ , so we must have  $yy' = y'y = 0$ . This shows that there is a ring isomorphism  $\gamma : \mathcal{U} \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} A & N_1 \\ N_2 & B \end{pmatrix}$ , defined by  $\gamma(u) = \begin{pmatrix} eue & euf \\ fue & fuf \end{pmatrix}$  for any  $u \in \mathcal{U}$ .

Since  $\varphi$  is a Jordan isomorphism, it induces by restriction and corestriction Jordan isomorphisms  $\rho : R \rightarrow A$  and  $\sigma : S \rightarrow B$ , and also additive isomorphisms  $\psi_1 : M_1 \rightarrow N_1$  and  $\psi_2 : M_2 \rightarrow N_2$ . It is clear that  $\gamma\varphi \begin{pmatrix} r & m_1 + m_2 \\ 0 & s \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \rho(r) & \psi_1(m_1) \\ \psi_2(m_2) & \sigma(s) \end{pmatrix}$  for any  $r \in R, s \in S, m_1 \in M_1, m_2 \in M_2$ . It remains to show that  $\psi_1$  is a  $(\rho, \sigma)$ -isomorphism and  $\psi_2$  is a  $(\rho, \sigma)$ -anti-isomorphism.

Let  $r \in R$  and  $m \in M_1$ . We have  $\psi_1(rm) = \varphi(rm) \in N_1 = e\varphi(M)$ , so  $\varphi(rm) = e\varphi(n) = \varphi(n)f$  for some  $n \in M$ . Then,  $\varphi(rm)f = \varphi(n)f^2 = \varphi(n)f = \varphi(rm)$ , so  $\varphi(rm) = \varphi(rm)f = \varphi(r)\varphi(m) = \rho(r)\psi_1(m)$ .

If  $s \in S$  and  $m \in M_1$  then,  $\psi_1(ms) = \varphi(ms) = e\varphi(n)$  for some  $n \in M$ . Then,  $\varphi(ms) = e\varphi(n) = e^2\varphi(n) = e\varphi(ms) = \varphi(m)\varphi(s) = \psi_1(m)\sigma(s)$ .

Similarly, one can prove that  $\psi_2(rm) = \psi_2(m)\rho(r)$  and  $\psi_2(ms) = \sigma(s)\psi_2(m)$  for any  $r \in R, m \in M_2$  and  $s \in S$ . □

The following result shows in some sense what is the obstruction for  $\rho$  and  $\sigma$  in Theorem 2.2 to being ring morphisms or ring anti-morphisms.

PROPOSITION 2.3 *With notation as in Theorem 2.2 and its proof, we have that for any  $r, r' \in R, s, s' \in S$  the following hold:*

$$\begin{aligned} \rho(rr') - \rho(r)\rho(r') &\in \varphi(\text{ann}_R(M_1)) \\ \rho(r'r) - \rho(r)\rho(r') &\in \varphi(\text{ann}_R(M_2)) \\ \sigma(ss') - \sigma(s)\sigma(s') &\in \varphi(\text{ann}_S(M_1)) \\ \sigma(s's) - \sigma(s)\sigma(s') &\in \varphi(\text{ann}_S(M_2)) \end{aligned}$$

*Proof* Let  $r, r' \in R$ . Then for any  $m \in M$ ,

$$\varphi(r)\varphi(r')\varphi(m) = \varphi(r)\varphi(r'm)f = \varphi(rr'm)f = \varphi(rr')\varphi(m),$$

so  $(\varphi(r)\varphi(r') - \varphi(rr'))\varphi(m) = 0$ . Since  $\varphi(R)$  is a subring of  $\mathcal{U}$ , we have that  $\varphi(r)\varphi(r') - \varphi(rr') = \varphi(r_0)$  for some  $r_0 \in R$ . Then  $e\varphi(r_0m) = \varphi(r_0m)f = \varphi(r_0)\varphi(m) = 0$ , so  $r_0m = \varphi^{-1}(\varphi(r_0m)) = \varphi^{-1}(f\varphi(r_0m)) \in M_2$ . This shows that  $r_0M \subseteq M_2$ . As  $r_0M_1 \subseteq M_1$ , we must have  $r_0M_1 = 0$ , so  $r_0 \in \text{ann}_R(M_1)$ . We conclude that  $\rho(rr') - \rho(r)\rho(r') \in \varphi(\text{ann}_R(M_1))$ .

For the second relation, we see that

$$\varphi(m)\varphi(r)\varphi(r') = f\varphi(rm)\varphi(r') = f\varphi(r'rm) = \varphi(m)\varphi(r'r)$$

so  $\varphi(m)(\varphi(r)\varphi(r') - \varphi(r'r)) = 0$ . As for the first relation, if we write  $\varphi(r)\varphi(r') - \varphi(r'r) = \varphi(r_0)$ , we have that  $f\varphi(r_0m) = \varphi(m)\varphi(r_0) = 0$ . Hence,  $r_0m \in M_1$  for any  $m$ , implying  $r_0 \in \text{ann}_R(M_2)$  and the second relation. The other two relations can be proved similarly.  $\square$

### 3. Applications

We use Theorem 2.2 and Proposition 2.3 for proving the following result, giving information about Jordan isomorphisms of a large class of rings.

**THEOREM 3.1** *Let  $C$  be a commutative ring and  $R, S$  be  $C$ -algebras. Let  $M$  be a left  $R$ , right  $S$ -bimodule, faithful on each side, such that any direct summand of  $M$  as a bimodule is of the form  $cM$  for some idempotent  $c$  in  $C$ . Let  $\mathcal{T} = \begin{pmatrix} R & M \\ 0 & S \end{pmatrix}$ , which is assumed to be 2-torsionfree. Then for any Jordan isomorphism  $\varphi : \mathcal{T} \rightarrow \mathcal{U}$ , where  $\mathcal{U}$  is a ring, there exists an idempotent  $c \in C$  such that  $\varphi|_{c\mathcal{T}}$  is a ring isomorphism and  $\varphi|_{(1-c)\mathcal{T}}$  is a ring anti-isomorphism. In particular,  $\varphi$  is the direct sum of a ring isomorphism and a ring anti-isomorphism.*

*Proof* By Theorem 2.2, and keeping the notation in its statement and its proof, there is a decomposition  $M = M_1 \oplus M_2$  as bimodules such that  $\gamma\varphi = \Phi(\rho, \sigma, \psi_1, \psi_2)$ . By our hypothesis, there is an idempotent  $c \in C$  such that  $M_1 = cM$  and  $M_2 = (1 - c)M$ . The mapping  $z \mapsto (cz, (1 - c)z)$  defines a ring isomorphism  $\mathcal{T} \simeq c\mathcal{T} \times (1 - c)\mathcal{T}$ .

We have that  $\varphi(c1_R)$  and  $\varphi((1 - c)1_R)$  are central orthogonal idempotents in  $\varphi(R)$ , and their sum is  $e$ , the identity of  $\varphi(R)$ . Since  $\varphi(cr) = \varphi(crc) = \varphi(c1_R)\varphi(r)\varphi(c1_R) = \varphi(c1_R)\varphi(r)$ , we see that  $\varphi(cR) = \varphi(c1_R)\varphi(R)$  is a subring of  $\varphi(R)$ , with identity  $\varphi(c1_R)$ . Similarly,  $\varphi((1 - c)1_R) = \varphi((1 - c)1_R)\varphi(R)$  is a subring of  $\varphi(R)$ , with identity  $\varphi((1 - c)1_R)$ .

We show that  $\varphi|_{cR}$  is a ring morphism. Indeed, by Proposition 2.3,  $\varphi(rr') - \varphi(r)\varphi(r') \in \varphi(\text{ann}_R(M_1)) = \varphi(\text{ann}_R(cM)) = \varphi((1 - c)R) = \varphi((1 - c)1_R)\varphi(R)$ , for any  $r, r' \in R$ . Now if  $r, r' \in cR$ , we have  $\varphi(rr') - \varphi(r)\varphi(r') \in \varphi(c1_R)\varphi(R)$ , as this is a subring. Since  $\varphi(c1_R)\varphi(R) \cap \varphi((1 - c)1_R)\varphi(R) = 0$ , we must have  $\varphi(rr') - \varphi(r)\varphi(r') = 0$ .

In a similar way, if we use the relation  $\varphi(rr') - \varphi(r')\varphi(r) \in \varphi(\text{ann}_R(M_2)) = \varphi(cR) = \varphi(c1_R)\varphi(R)$ , where  $r, r' \in R$ , we obtain that for  $r, r' \in (1 - c)R$ ,

$$\varphi(rr') - \varphi(r')\varphi(r) \in \varphi(c1_R)\varphi(R) \cap \varphi((1 - c)1_R)\varphi(R) = 0,$$

so  $\varphi|_{(1-c)R}$  is a ring anti-morphism.

Similarly, one sees that  $\varphi|_{cS}$  is a ring morphism and  $\varphi|_{(1-c)S}$  is a ring anti-morphism.

We show that  $\varphi|_{cM}$  is a  $(\varphi|_{cR}, \varphi|_{cS})$ -morphism, which in view of Proposition 2.1(1) shows that  $\varphi|_{c\mathcal{T}}$  is a ring isomorphism. Indeed, we have  $\varphi(cr)\varphi(cm) = \varphi(crcm)f = \varphi(crm)f$ . Since  $crm = rcm \in M_1$ , we have  $\varphi(crm) \in \varphi(M_1) = \varphi(cM)f$ , and we get  $\varphi(crm)f = \varphi(crm) = \varphi(crcm)$ , showing that  $\varphi(cr)\varphi(cm) = \varphi(crm)$ . On the other hand,  $\varphi(cm)\varphi(cs) = e\varphi(cmcs) = e\varphi(mcs)$ . Now  $mcs \in M_1$  shows that  $\varphi(mcs) \in \varphi(M_1) = e\varphi(M)$ , and then  $e\varphi(mcs) = \varphi(mcs) = \varphi(cmcs)$ . Thus,  $\varphi(cm)\varphi(cs) = \varphi(cmcs)$ .

In a similar way, one can show that  $\varphi_{|(1-c)M}$  is a  $(\varphi_{|(1-c)R}, \varphi_{|(1-c)S})$ -morphism, and then by Proposition 2.1(2) we see that  $\varphi_{|(1-c)\mathcal{T}}$  is a ring anti-isomorphism, and this ends the proof.  $\square$

**COROLLARY 3.2** [7, Theorem 3.1] *Let  $\mathcal{T} = \begin{pmatrix} R & M \\ 0 & S \end{pmatrix}$  be a 2-torsionfree triangular ring. If  $M$  is  $R$ -faithful,  $S$ -faithful and indecomposable as a bimodule, then every Jordan isomorphism from  $\mathcal{T}$  onto another ring is either a ring isomorphism or a ring anti-isomorphism.*

*Proof* Let  $C = \mathbb{Z}$ . Since  $M$  is indecomposable, a direct summand  $M_1$  of  $M$  is of the form  $cM$ , with  $c = 0$  or  $c = 1$ . By Theorem 3.1, we obtain that  $\varphi$  is a ring isomorphism for  $c = 1$ , and a ring anti-isomorphism for  $c = 0$ .  $\square$

We recall that a complete upper block triangular matrix ring over a ring  $\Gamma$  is a ring of the form

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{M}_{d_1}(\Gamma) & \mathcal{M}_{d_1, d_2}(\Gamma) & \cdots & \mathcal{M}_{d_1, d_p}(\Gamma) \\ 0 & \mathcal{M}_{d_2}(\Gamma) & \cdots & \mathcal{M}_{d_2, d_p}(\Gamma) \\ \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & \mathcal{M}_{d_p}(\Gamma) \end{pmatrix}$$

for some positive integers  $p \geq 2, d_1, d_2, \dots, d_p$ . If all the blocks are of size 1, i.e.  $d_1 = \dots = d_p = 1$ , this is just an upper triangular matrix ring.

**COROLLARY 3.3** *Let  $\Gamma$  be a 2-torsionfree ring and let  $\mathcal{T}$  be a complete upper block triangular matrix ring over  $\Gamma$ . Then for any Jordan isomorphism  $\varphi : \mathcal{T} \rightarrow \mathcal{U}$ , where  $\mathcal{U}$  is a ring, there exists a central idempotent  $c \in \Gamma$  such that  $\varphi_{|c\mathcal{T}}$  is a ring isomorphism and  $\varphi_{|(1-c)\mathcal{T}}$  is a ring anti-isomorphism. Thus,  $\varphi$  is the direct sum of a ring isomorphism and a ring anti-isomorphism. In particular, if  $\Gamma$  has only trivial central idempotents,  $\varphi$  is either an isomorphism of rings or an anti-isomorphism of rings.*

*Proof* We regard  $\mathcal{T}$  as a triangular ring  $\begin{pmatrix} R & M \\ 0 & S \end{pmatrix}$ , where  $R$  is the first diagonal block of  $\mathcal{T}$ , say of size  $p \times p$ ,  $S$  is the complete upper block triangular matrix ring, say of size  $q \times q$ , obtained from  $\mathcal{T}$  by deleting the first  $p$  rows and the first  $p$  columns, and  $M = \mathcal{M}_{p,q}(\Gamma)$ . Let  $C$  be the centre of  $\Gamma$ . By direct computation, or by using [8, Propositions 2.1 and 3.1], we see that  $M$  is faithful as a left  $R$ -module and also as a right  $S$ -module, and that the sub-bimodules of  $M$  which are direct summands of  $M$  are of the form  $\mathcal{M}_{p,q}(I)$ , where  $I$  is a two-sided ideal of  $\Gamma$ . Then, a decomposition of  $M$  as a direct sum of sub-bimodules reduces to a decomposition of  $\Gamma$  as a direct sum of ideals. Therefore, a direct summand of the bimodule  $M$  is of the form  $cM$  for some idempotent  $c \in C$ . Now we just apply Theorem 3.1.  $\square$

In the particular case of upper triangular matrix rings, Corollary 3.3 was proved in [5, Main Theorem 1]. The fact that a Jordan isomorphism from an upper triangular matrix ring over a 2-torsionfree ring  $\Gamma$  having only trivial idempotents is either a ring isomorphism

or a ring anti-isomorphism was proved in [4] for commutative  $\Gamma$ , and in [7, Theorem 3.2] in general.

### Disclosure statement

No potential conflict of interest was reported by the authors.

### Funding

The second author was supported by the UEFISCDI [grant number PN-II-ID-PCE-2011-3-0635], contract no. 253/5.10.2011 of CNCSIS. The third author was supported by the National Research Foundation of South Africa [grant number 81141]. Any opinions, findings and conclusions or recommendations expressed in this material are those of the authors and therefore, the National Research Foundation does not accept any liability in regard thereto.

### References

- [1] Herstein IN. Jordan homomorphisms. *Trans. Am. Math. Soc.* 1956;81:331–351.
- [2] Smiley MF. Jordan homomorphisms onto prime rings. *Trans. Am. Math. Soc.* 1957;84:426–429.
- [3] Molnar L, Šemrl P. Some linear preserver problems on upper triangular matrices. *Linear Multilinear Algebra.* 1998;45:189–206.
- [4] Beidar KI, Brešar M, Chebotar MA. Jordan isomorphisms of triangular matrix algebra over a connected commutative ring. *Linear Algebra Appl.* 2000;312:197–201.
- [5] Liu C-K, Tsai W-Y. Jordan isomorphisms of upper triangular matrix rings. *Linear Algebra Appl.* 2007;426:143–148.
- [6] Wang Y, Wang Y. Jordan homomorphisms of upper triangular matrix rings. *Linear Algebra Appl.* 2013;439:4063–4069.
- [7] Wong T-L. Jordan isomorphisms of triangular rings. *Proc. Am. Math. Soc.* 2005;133:3381–3388.
- [8] Dăscălescu S, Preduț S, van Wyk L. Jordan isomorphisms of generalized structural matrix rings. *Linear Multilinear Algebra.* 2013;61:369–376.