Morse functions and Thom's jet transversality theorem

Recall from last time

We constructed a fibration $I(H) \longrightarrow P(H) \xrightarrow{P(H)} \xrightarrow{P(H$

<u>Proposition</u> Let $D: M * I \longrightarrow M * I$ be a pseudo-isotopy. Then there is a path of elements $f_s \in E(M)$, $O \leq s \leq 1$, connecting $p(D) = \Pi_{D} \circ D^{-1}$ to the projection $\Pi_{D}: M * I \longrightarrow I$, is and only if there is a one-parameter samily of pseudo-isotopies connecting D to an isotopy.

<u>Theorem</u> If $\Pi_{4}(F(M), E(M)) = [1], i.e., if every$ path in <math>F(M) with end points in E(M) deforms relative to its endpoints to a path in E(M), then every pseudo-isotopy of M deforms through pseudo-isotopies to the identity. In particular, in this case any diffeomorphism $Q: M \rightarrow M$ pseudo-isotopic to the identity is isotopic to the identity. $\frac{P_{roo}f}{F(M)}: Since F(M) is contractible,$ $\Pi_{1}(F(M), E(M)) = \frac{5}{45} = 3 \Pi_{0}(\frac{E(M)}{F(M)}) = \frac{5}{4}$ and the result then follows from the above Proposition.



<u>Cers's theorem</u> Suppose that $\pi_{\perp}(M) = 0$ and the dimension of is at least 5. Then $\pi_{\perp}(F(M), E(M)) = \frac{5}{2} + \frac{5}{2}$, i.e., every path in F(M) with end points in E(M) deforms relative to its end points to a path in E(M).

Morse functions

We have "reduced" the pseudo-isotopy problem to a statement about the relative fundamental group of the pair ($\mathcal{F}(M)$, $\mathcal{E}(M)$). This is still quite hord! $\mathcal{F}(M)$ might be contractible but that doesn't help too much with the pair ($\mathcal{F}(M)$, $\mathcal{E}(M)$). $\mathcal{F}(H)$ is quite complicated, but ehonkfully there are subspaces $\mathcal{F}^{\circ}(M)$, $\mathcal{F}^{1}(M)$, $\mathcal{F}^{2}(M)$ that we can explicitly understand with the properties:

Maps (I, F°(M) V F^{*}(M)) is dense in Maps (I, F(H))
 Maps (I², F[°](M) (V F¹(M) V) F⁹(M))) is dense in Maps (I², F(H))

Using those results, we will be able to substitute $\mathcal{F}(H)$ for $\mathcal{F}^{r}(M) = \mathcal{F}^{\circ}(H) \cup \mathcal{F}^{1}(M) \cup \mathcal{F}^{2}(H)$ and explicitly study this space.

F°(M):

This is the space of excellent Morse functions on M.

$$\frac{Def}{E}: Let f: M \longrightarrow \mathbb{R} \text{ be a smooth function and}$$

$$p \in M \quad a \quad critical \quad paint. \quad Recall, \quad this \quad means \quad that \quad for \quad some \quad (Jf_{p}=0)$$

$$(and \quad hence \quad ony) \quad coordinate \quad chart \quad [x_{j_1}, \dots, x_n] \quad around \quad p,$$

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{j_1}} = O \quad A \quad critical \quad point \quad p \quad is \quad called \quad \underline{non-degenerate} \quad or$$

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{j_1}} = O \quad A \quad critical \quad point \quad p \quad is \quad called \quad \underline{non-degenerate} \quad or$$

$$is \quad non. \quad singular, \quad i.e. \quad det \quad \left(\begin{array}{c} \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_{j_1} \partial x_{j_1}} \\ \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{j_1} \partial x_{j_1}} \end{array} \right) \neq O.$$

A smooth real-valued function f is called <u>Morse</u> of all its critical points are of Morse type. A Morse Sunction is called <u>excellent</u> if its critical values are pairwise distinct.

Example of a Morse function that is not excellent:



<u>Theorem</u> The space of excellent Morse Sunctions $\mathcal{F}^{\circ}(N)$ is an open and dense subset of $\mathcal{F}(N) := C^{\circ}(N;\mathbb{R})$ in the $C^{\circ}(in fact C^{2})$ topology.

Morse lemma If p is a non-degenerate critical point of f, 3 a coordinate chart centered at p s.t. $f(x_1, ..., x_n) = f(0) - x_1^2 - ... - x_i^2 + x_{i+1}^2 + ... + x_n^2$ for some Osisn, called the index of p. <u>Proof sketch</u>:

(41,-, 5n) Step 1: Pick any coordinate system around p. We will find functions $f_{1}, ..., f_{n}$ s.t.

$$f(y_1, ..., y_n) = f(0) + \sum_{i=1}^{n} g_i f_i (y_1, ..., y_n)$$

By the fundamental theorem of calculus, we have

$$f(y_1, \dots, y_m) = f(0) + \int_{0}^{1} \frac{d}{dt} f(t, y_1, \dots, t, y_m) dt$$

By the Chain rule, we have

$$f(\underline{x}_{1},...,\underline{y}_{n}) = f(0) + \int_{0}^{1} \underbrace{\hat{z}}_{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial \underline{x}_{i}} f(\underline{x}_{1},...,\underline{x}_{n}) \cdot \underline{y}_{i} dt =$$

$$= f(0) + \underbrace{\hat{z}}_{i} \underbrace{y_{i}}_{i} \int_{0}^{1} \frac{\partial}{\partial \underline{x}_{i}} \frac{f(\underline{x}_{1},...,\underline{x}_{n})}{\underline{y}_{i}} dt$$
Using that p is a critical point, $\frac{\partial}{\partial \underline{y}_{i}} f(0) = 0$ and hence
 $f_{i}(0) = 0$, so we can repeat this process and find
functions h_{i,j} S.b. $f(\underline{x}_{1},...,\underline{x}_{n}) = f(0) + \underbrace{z}_{i,j} \underbrace{y_{i}}_{i,j} \underbrace{y_{i,j}}_{i,j} \underbrace{y_{i,j}}_{i,j} \underbrace{y_{i,j}}_{i,j} \ldots \underbrace{y_{i,j}}_{i,j}$
The proof proceeds by diagonalizing chis quadratic form in
a number of proceeds.

(orollory: Non-deg, critical points are isolated (finite if M compact)

© Gradient-like vector fields No introduction to Morse Sunctions can be complete without at least a mention of gradient-like vector fields. Defli): Let &: M -> R be a Morse Sunction. A vector field & (recall, this means a smooth section of the tongent bundle p: TM -> M) is a <u>gradient-like</u> <u>vector field</u> for & if:

- $\Im(\sharp)_{x} > \bigcirc$ for all $X \in \mathbb{M} \setminus Grie(\mathbb{A})$ (Recall, $\Im(\sharp)_{x} = d f_{x} (\Im(\mathfrak{A})) \in T_{\mathfrak{g}(\mathfrak{A})} \mathbb{R} \cong \mathbb{R}$)
 - For any $p \in Crit(f)$, there exists a Horse coordinate chart U around p with coordinates $(x_{\perp 1}, \dots, x_{i}, X_{i+\perp 1}, \dots, x_{n})$ so that $\triangleq f(x_{\perp 1}, \dots, x_{n}) = f(p) - x_{1}^{2} - \dots - x_{i}^{2} + x_{i+1}^{2} + \dots + x_{n}^{2}$ $\triangleq f(x_{\perp 1}, \dots, x_{n}) = (-2x_{\perp 1}, \dots, -2x_{i}, 2x_{i+\perp 1}, \dots, 2x_{n})$

$$g(g(u) + (v)) = f(u)$$

Remark: One could have chosen an alternative definition of a gradient-like vector field that may sound more "true to its name", namely Def (2): A vector field on M is called gradient-like if it is the gradient vector field of

f with respect to some Riemannian metric q on M.

Question: Does Def. (1) imply Def (2)? What about the other way around? <u>Theorem</u> For every Morse function &: M-> R, there exists a gradient-like vector field.

Proof: Case 1: f has no critical points
For every
$$p \in M$$
, there exists a coordinate chart
 $(x_{1}, ..., x_{n})$ centered at p s.t. f locally looks like
 $(x_{11}, ..., x_{n}) \longrightarrow f(p) + x_{1}$

Since M is compace, where are finitely many such charts $U_i J_i$. In each U_i , choose the vector field $(I_i U_i + \dots + U_i)$ and glue all these vector fields together Using a partition of Unity.



<u>(ase 2</u>: f has a single critical point p Choose a Morse coordinate chart U oround p and an open subset $\overline{U} \subset U$. $M \setminus \overline{U}$ is compact and $f: M \setminus \overline{U} \longrightarrow R$ is a Morse function with no critical points. Choose a gradient-like vector field on $M \setminus \overline{U}$ using Case 1. Pull-back on U the gradient vector field of $(x_{1}, \dots, x_{n}) \longrightarrow -x_{1}^{2} - \dots -x_{i}^{2} + \dots + x_{n}^{2}$ wrt the stondard Euclidean metric. Glue these vector fields together using a partition of unity.



Important take-away: Morse functions + associated gradient-like vector fields allow us to reconstruct the topology of manifolds.

For now, we will postpone discussing this to next time and concentrate instead on the genericity of Morse functions.

Det bundles

Def: Let M, N be two smooth manifolds. A germ of smooth functions between M and N based at xtM is an equivalence class of pairs (U_x, f) , where: U_x is a neighborhood of x in M f is a smooth map $U_x \xrightarrow{f} N$ Two such pairs (U_x, f) , (U'_x, f') are equivalent if there exists $V \in U_x \cap U'_x$ such that $f|_V = f'|_V$.

<u>Def</u>: A <u>k-jet</u> with source M and target N is en Equivalence class of triples (x, o, y), where:

- x e M, y e N
- o is a gern of smooth functions with o(x) = y
- two such triples (x,o,y), (x',o',y') are equivalent if:

• X = X', y = y'• all partial derivatives up to order $J^{k}(M^{n}, \mathbb{R}^{n})$ k of σ, σ' at x agree.

<u>Def</u>: $\underline{J}^{k}(M,N)$ is the set of all k-jets from M to N.

It has the structure of a smooth many old and the projections
$$J^{k}(M,N)$$
, $J^{k}(M,N)$, $J^{k}(M,N)$, $J^{k}(M,N)$, $\int_{\Pi_{M\times N}} \Pi_{M}$, $\int_{\Pi_{M}} \Pi_{M}$, $\int_{\Pi_{M}} \Pi_{M\times N}$

are fiber bundles.

Remark: Suppose that M is m-dimensional and N is n-dimensional. Let $_{X}J^{E}(H,N)_{y}$ denote the fiber of $J^{E}(H,N) \longrightarrow M \times N$ over (X,y). Then, $_{X}J^{E}(M,N)_{y} \cong Hom((T_{X}M_{I}, T_{Y}N) \cong Hom(IR^{m}, R^{m}))$ So, each fiber has the structure of a vector space. But, we cannot make these identifications in a canonical way and we still only get the structure of a fiber bundle.

The Whitney topologies

We need a definition of the topology of C[®](H;N) in order to make precise the notion that Morse functions are generic. <u>Def</u>: A subspace of a topological space is called <u>qeneric</u> if it is open and dense. Let X, Y be topological spaces. We can define a topology on the space of continuous maps Maps (X, Y), the compact - open topology, as follows: A sub-basis is given by the collection of $N(K,V) := \{f: Map(X,Y)\} f(K) < U$, where K < M is compact U < N is open ξ

Remark: If we consider the category of nice topological spaces (compactly generated, weakly Hausdorff), we see that it is an enriched category using the compact-open topology. Moreover, we get a tensor-ham adjunction Maps (X×Y, Z) ≅ Maps (X, Maps (Y,Z)) Upshot: This is a good topology to consider on mapping spaces.

We are looking for a topology on C[®](M, N) and, more generally, we will construct one on C^r(M, N).

1st attempt: Use the subspace topology for the

inclusion C^r(H,N) C Maps(H,N), with Maps(H,N) equipped with the compact-open topology. Not a good idea, we don't keep any track of differentiability.

2nd attempt: Each
$$(r - function f: M \rightarrow N)$$

defines a section $J^{r}(M, N)$
 $J_{f}(\int_{M}^{\pi_{M}} M)$

This defines a map (of sets)

$$(\Gamma(M,N) \xrightarrow{jr} \Gamma(M,\overline{J}(M,N))$$

i)
$$\Gamma(M, J'(M, N)) \subset Maps(M, J'(M, N))$$
 and
is equipped with the subspace topology
 $J'(M, N)$

ii) There is a map
$$U^{\#_N}$$

 $\Gamma(M, J'(M, N)) \longrightarrow (r'(M, N))$
 $(s: M \longrightarrow J'(H, N)) \longmapsto \pi_N \circ s$

which is an inverse of j^r. Consequently, j^r is an inclusion and we can equip C^r(H,N) with the subspace topology. This is called the <u>weak</u> Whitney topology on C'(M,N).

<u>Def</u>: For every rZD, there exists an inclusion (¹⁰(M,N) (M,N). We define the <u>weak Whitney</u> <u>topology on (¹⁰(M,N)</u> to be the coarsest topology with respect to which old these inclusions are continuous.

Now that we know with respect to which topology we try to prove that the space of Morse functions is generic, we need a tool to do so. This will be Thom's jet transversality theorem.

Thom's jet transversality theorem

A crucial notion in differential topology is transversality. It gives us a criterion for when an intersection between two smooth submanifolds is "nice". Intuitively,



Def: Let f: M -> W, g: N -> W be smooth mops ond let meM, neN be such that f(m) = g(h)) = w. We say that f intersects g <u>transversally</u> at w and write fing if df (TmM) @ dg(TnN) = TwW

This is the more general case of transversality for maps. By taking one or both of the maps to be inclusions of submanifolds we recover the notion of transversality between a map and a submanifold or between two submanifolds. Let's spell it out:

Def: Let $f: M \longrightarrow W$ be a smooth map and let $N \subseteq W$ be a submanifold. We say that f intersects N transversally at $x \in f^{-1}(N)$ ($f = T_{N} \land A + x$) if $Jf(T_{x} \land M) \oplus T_{f(x)} \land F = T_{f(x)} \lor$ We say that f intersects N transversally ($f = T_{N} \land A + x$) if f intersects N transversally at our points $x \in f^{-1}(N)$.

Let's get a first glimpse of what transversality buys us:



<u>Remark</u>: The theorem above implies that if dim M < codim(N), the only way f can be transverse to N is if it does not intersect it at oul. Let's see how this matches intuition:



Upshoe: Transversality depends crucially on the ambient manifold!

We are now ready for the main theorem:

<u>Thom's jet transversality theorem</u> Let M and N be smooth manifolds and W a smooth submanifold of J^k(M,N). Let

 $T_{W} := \{f \in (\mathcal{O}(M, N) | j^{r} f \neq W \} \}$ Then, T_{W} is open and dense in $\mathcal{C}^{\infty}(M, N)$.

For Morse functions, we get to apply this
in the case
$$N = IR$$
 and $W = S_{\pm} \subset J'(M,R)$,
where S_{\pm} consists of those jets (x, σ, y) s.t.
 $J\sigma_{x} = O$.
 $J\sigma_{x} = J\sigma_{x} = J$

Remark: This gives an alternative proof that non-degenerate critical points are isolated.

"Def": A smooth function $f \in (\mathcal{O}(M; \mathbb{R}))$ is Morse if $j^{\perp} f \mathcal{F} S_{\perp}$.

References

 John Morgan's Lectures on Morse theory
 Guillemin - Golubitsky: Stable mappings and their singularities
 Alexander Kupers, Lecture notes on diffeomorphism groups
 Jean Cerf, La stratification naturelle des espars de fonctions differentiables réelles et la théorème de la pseudo-isotopie.