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Abstract. A recent identity of Larcombe and Fennessey is derived via a weighted version of Cassini's identity for Fibonacci numbers.

## 1. The identities

Let $M=\left(\begin{array}{cc}V & U \\ W & 0\end{array}\right)$ and $\alpha_{n}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}1 & 0\end{array}\right) M^{n}\binom{1}{0}$.
In [2], the non-linear identity

$$
(-1)^{n} U^{n} W^{n}=\alpha_{n}^{2}-U W \alpha_{n-1}^{2}-V \alpha_{n} \alpha_{n-1}
$$

( $n \geq 1$ ) was presented. Actually, in [2], $V$ and $W$ were replaced by $-V$ and $-W$, respectively, and the quantities $U, V, W$ could depend on a parameter $x$.

Here, we want to link this identity to the classical Cassini identity

$$
F_{n+1}^{2}-F_{n} F_{n+2}=(-1)^{n}
$$

for Fibonacci numbers; we will deduce the Larcombe-Fennessey identity from the Cassini identity.

## 2. Interpretation as walks in a graph

Consider the following graph:


Then $\alpha_{n}$ may be interpreted as the sum over all walks of length $n$ from state 1 to state 1 , where each walk is coded by the letter attached to the directed edge. For example,

$$
\alpha_{4}=V^{4}+U W V^{2}+U W U W+V U W V+V^{2} U W .
$$

Since a walk can start either with $V$ or $U W$, we have the recursion formula

$$
\alpha_{n}=V \alpha_{n-1}+U W \alpha_{n-2} .
$$

This works for $n \geq 1$, provided we set $\alpha_{-1}=0$.
Consequently, we have

$$
\alpha_{n+1} \alpha_{n-1}=V \alpha_{n-1} \alpha_{n}+U W \alpha_{n-1}^{2} .
$$

Therefore, the Larcombe-Fennessey identity follows from the simpler identity

$$
\alpha_{n}^{2}-\alpha_{n+1} \alpha_{n-1}=(-1)^{n} U^{n} W^{n} .
$$

[^0]We will deduce this one from Cassini's identity.

## 3. Interpretation as tilings of an $n \times 1$ Rectangle

We want to tile an $n \times 1$ rectangle using $1 \times 1$ and $2 \times 1$ rectangles. Each such tiling is in obvious correspondence with a walk, where the edge $V$ corresponds to a $1 \times 1$ rectangle, and the two consecutive edges $U W$ correspond to a $2 \times 1$ rectangle. For example, the walk $V U W U W V V V U W$ can be interpreted as

| $V$ | $U$ | $W$ | $U$ | $W$ | $V$ | $V$ | $V$ | $U$ | $W$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

It is plain to see, compare [ $1, \mathrm{p} .1]$ that the number of tilings of an $n \times 1$ rectangle is $F_{n+1}$, a Fibonacci number. We refer to the graphical proof of Cassini's identity in [1, p. 8] which we repeat here for the readers' convenience. Consider two such tilings, which we arrange in 2 rows, but the second one shifted one unit to the right. Their number is $F_{n+1}^{2}$; we call this a type 1 tiling. Here is an example:

| $V$ | $U$ | $W$ | $U$ | $W$ | $V$ | $V$ | $V$ | $U$ | $W$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $V$ | $U$ | $W$ | $V$ | $U$ | $W$ | $U$ | $W$ | $U$ |$|$|  |
| :--- |

The rightmost vertical line that is common to both tilings is especially indicated. Now the part to the right of this line will be flipped: top and bottom are exchanged; the result we will call a type 2 tiling:

| $V$ | $U$ | $W$ | $U$ | $W$ | $V$ | $V$ | $U$ | $W$ | $U$ | $W$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | $V$ | $U$ | $W$ | $V$ | $U$ | $W$ | $V$ | $U$ | $W$ |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

The number of Type 2 tilings is $F_{n+2} F_{n}$. Note that this operation is reversible, and this mapping is "almost" a bijection. There is a correction to be made, namely when a common vertical line does not exist. Let $n=2 m$ be even. Then there is a tiling of the first type, namely both rows are $(U W)^{m}$, which has no correspondence of the second type. On the other hand, if $n=2 m+1$ is odd, there is a tiling of the second type, namely $(U W)^{m+1}$ in the first row and $(U W)^{m}$ in the second row, which has no corresponding element of the first type. In [1, p. 8], this is only used for the numbers of tilings, but the operation is weight preserving. Putting things together, we have shown that

$$
\alpha_{n}^{2}-\alpha_{n-1} \alpha_{n+1}= \begin{cases}(U W)^{m}(U W)^{m} & \text { for } n=2 m \\ -(U W)^{m+1}(U W)^{m} & \text { for } n=2 m+1\end{cases}
$$

which is the identity that we needed to prove.
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